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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

In the rapidly changing world of the 21st Century, science literacy is an essential goal for all of our nation’s youth. Through science education, children come to understand the world in which they live and learn to apply scientific principles in many facets of their lives. In addition, our country has an obligation to provide young people who choose to pursue careers in science and technology with a strong foundation for their post-secondary study and work experience. Our nation’s future depends on scientifically literate citizens who can participate as informed members of society and a highly skilled scientific work force – both well prepared to address challenging issues at the local, national, and global level.

The Science Framework for the 2009 National Assessment of Educational Progress (the Framework) sets forth recommendations for the design of a new science assessment. The assessment resulting from this Framework will start a new National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) science trend beginning in 2009. It represents a unique opportunity to build upon previous NAEP science work as well as key developments in science standards, assessments, and research. This Framework is intended to inform the general public, educators, policymakers, and others about what students are expected to know and be able to do in science as part of “The Nation’s Report Card,” a program of the U.S. Department of Education (ED) that reports on NAEP findings.

In 1988, Congress created the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB) to set policy for NAEP. National Center for Education Statistics (NCES, a division of ED) carries out NAEP. As the ongoing national indicator of the academic achievement of U.S. students, NAEP regularly collects information on representative samples of students in grades 4, 8, and 12 and periodically reports on student achievement in reading, mathematics, writing, science, and other subject areas. NAEP scores are always reported at the aggregate level, not for individual students or schools. (By law, NAEP cannot report results for individual students.) For science, NAEP results are reported at the national, state, and district level. The district reports are provided for urban school systems that volunteer for the Trial Urban District component of NAEP.

NAEP produces comparative student achievement results according to demographic factors such as gender, race/ethnicity, and geographic region. Results are also provided in terms of student, teacher, and school background variables related to science achievement. Taken together, this information from NAEP helps the general public, educators, and policymakers to make informed decisions about education. Interested individuals can access performance results and released questions through NAEP reports and Web sites.

A new science framework to guide the assessment is necessary for several reasons: publication of national standards for science literacy, advances in both science and
cognitive research, growth in national and international science assessments, and increase in innovative assessment approaches. This 2009 Framework presents the conceptual base for and the content of the assessment. It is intended for a general audience. A more detailed, technical document, the Science Assessment and Item Specifications for the 2009 NAEP (the Specifications), is a companion piece. The Specifications document provides detailed information on the content to be assessed, item development, and other aspects of test development and administration. The audience for the science Specifications is the NCES and the NAEP test development contractor.

Key Features

This Framework is the result of extraordinary effort and commitment by hundreds of individuals across the country, including some of the nation’s leading science educators, scientists, policymakers, and assessment experts. Under contract to the National Assessment Governing Board, WestEd and the Council of Chief State School Officers conducted an 18-month process to develop the Framework involving committees, regional hearings, and other public forums. The Governing Board also engaged an external review panel to evaluate the draft Framework and convened a public hearing to gather additional input during the development process.

The new Framework incorporates the following key features:

- Its design is based on widely accepted national and international science standards and assessments, in addition to state curriculum standards. However, the Framework is intended to inform development of an assessment, not to advocate for a particular approach to instruction or to represent the entire range of science content and skills.
- Science content is presented in detailed, grade-specific charts that also allow the reader to see the progression in complexity of topics across grades.
- The Framework is based on scientific knowledge and processes that are derived from tested explanations and supported by accumulated empirical evidence. Explanations of natural phenomena that rely on non-scientific views are not reflected in the Framework.
- New item formats are recommended, including the use of interactive computer tasks.

Content of the Framework

The Framework describes the science content and the science practices that form the basis for the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment. It also discusses item distribution and item formats, as well as draft achievement levels. Finally, it recommends several small-scale, special studies to be considered in conjunction with the 2009 or future science assessments.
Science Content

The science content for the 2009 NAEP is defined by a series of statements that describe key principles, concepts and facts in three broad areas:

- Physical Science
- Life Science
- Earth and Space Science

Physical Science deals with matter, energy, and motion; Life Science with structures and functions of living systems and changes in living systems; and Earth and Space Science with Earth in space and time, Earth structures, and Earth systems. Details about the science content and the science content statements themselves can be found in Chapter Two.

Science Practices

The second dimension of the Framework is defined by four science practices:

- Identifying Science Principles
- Using Science Principles
- Conducting Scientific Inquiry
- Employing Technological Design

These practices can be combined with any science content statement to generate student performance expectations, and assessment items can then be developed based on these performance expectations. The cognitive demands placed on students as they engage in assessment tasks are also described. The science practices are more fully detailed in Chapter Three.

Distribution of Items

As measured by student response time, the distribution of items by content area should be as follows: roughly equal across Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science at grade 4; more emphasis on Earth and Space Science at grade 8; and a shift to more emphasis on Physical Science and Life Science at grade 12. With respect to science practices, at all grades, the greatest emphasis should be on Identifying and Using Science Principles; and slightly more than a third of the time should be spent on items related to Conducting Scientific Inquiry. Specific recommended percentages are discussed in Chapter Four.

Item Formats

Item formats for the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment fall into two broad categories: selected-response items such as multiple-choice items and constructed-response items such as short answer items. As measured by student response time, 50% of the assessment items at each grade level should be selected-response items and 50% should be constructed-response items. In order to further probe students’ abilities to combine their understandings with the investigative skills reflective of practices, a sub-sample of students should receive an additional 20-30 minutes to complete hands-on performance
or interactive computer tasks. At each grade, there should be at least a total of four of these tasks; of these four tasks, there should be at least one hands-on and one interactive computer task; the number of interactive computer tasks should not exceed the number of hands-on tasks. More on item formats can be found in Chapter Four.

**Hands-on Performance Tasks**

In hands-on performance tasks, students manipulate selected physical objects and try to solve a scientific problem involving the objects. NAEP hands-on performance tasks should provide students with a concrete task (problem) along with equipment and materials. Students should be given the opportunity to determine scientifically justifiable procedures for arriving at a solution. Students’ scores should be based on both the solution and the procedures created for carrying out the investigation. Further discussion about hands-on performance tasks can be found in Chapter Four.

**Interactive Computer Tasks**

Interactive computer tasks may be of four types: 1) information search and analysis, 2) empirical investigation, 3) simulation, and 4) concept-maps. Information search and analysis items pose a scientific problem and ask students to query an information database and analyze relevant data to address the problem. Empirical investigation items put hands-on performance tasks on the computer and invite students to design and conduct a study to draw conclusions about a problem. Simulation items model systems (e.g., food webs), manipulate variables, and predict and explain resulting changes in the system. Concept-map items probe aspects of the structure or organization of students’ scientific knowledge by providing concept terms and having students create a logical graphic organizer. More on interactive computer tasks can be found in Chapter Four.

**Special Studies**

The three special study proposals ranked as top priority are:

- “Exchangeability” of Hands-on and Interactive Computer Investigations
- Impact of Variation in Item Format and Language Demand on the Performance of Students with Limited English Proficiency and Students with Disabilities
- Computer Adaptive Testing to Assess the Development of Student Understanding of Earth Systems

Details of these proposed studies are found in Chapter Four.

**Achievement Levels**

Results of the NAEP Science Assessment are reported as average scores for groups of students and as percentages of students who attain the Basic, Proficient, or Advanced achievement levels. Preliminary descriptions of the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced achievement levels may be found in Appendix C.
CHAPTER ONE: OVERVIEW

Introduction

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) measures student science achievement nationally, state-by-state, and most recently across selected urban school districts. Periodically, the framework underlying the science assessment is revised or updated. This document, the *Science Framework for the 2009 NAEP* (herein called the *Framework*), contains a new set of recommendations for the NAEP Science Assessment to be administered in 2009 and beyond. The *Framework* provides guidance on the science content to be assessed, the types of assessment questions, and the administration of the assessment.

For more than 35 years, NAEP has gathered information on student achievement in selected academic subjects. Originally, assessments were age-based samples of students 9-, 13-, and 17-years old; beginning in 1983, the assessment also has included grade-based samples of students in grades 4, 8, and 12. Currently, long-term trend NAEP continues to assess 9-, 13-, and 17-year-olds in mathematics and reading, while main NAEP assesses students in grades 4, 8, 12. For more information, see the following website: [http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/about/ltt_main_diff.asp](http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/about/ltt_main_diff.asp).

NAEP has become an important source of information on what U.S. students know and are able to do in reading, mathematics, science, U.S. history, writing, and other subjects. In addition, NAEP provides information on how student performance has changed over time. Since the 1990s, in addition to the national-level assessments, NAEP has conducted and reported state-level assessments at grades 4 and 8 in reading, mathematics, writing, and science. State-level, as well as national, science assessments were conducted in 1996, 2000, and 2005. The resulting data on student knowledge and performance have been accompanied by background information that allows analyses of a number of student demographic and instructional factors related to achievement. The assessments have been designed to allow comparisons of student performance over time and among subgroups of students according to region, parental education, gender, and race/ethnicity. In 2002, NAEP began a Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) in districts that volunteered to participate. The TUDA has continued through 2005 when ten districts took part in NAEP assessments that produced district-level results.

Need for a New Framework

The framework that guided the last three NAEP Science Assessments (administered in 1996, 2000, and 2005) was developed some 15 years ago. Since then, the following developments have taken place, making it necessary to create a new framework for assessing science in 2009 and beyond:

- Publication, for the first time, of national standards for science literacy in *National Science Education Standards* (NRC, 1996) (herein called National Standards) and *Benchmarks for Scientific Literacy* (AAAS, 1993) (herein called...
Benchmarks). Since their publication, these two national documents have informed state science standards.

- **Advances in science research** (for example, on the relationship between human activity and the natural world) that have increased our knowledge and as a consequence influenced the school curriculum in the fields of physical, life, and Earth and space sciences.

- **Advances in cognitive research** (for example, on how students learn increasingly complex material over time) that have yielded new insights into how and what students learn about science (Bransford et al., 1999).

- **Growth in the prevalence of science assessments nationally and internationally.** Examples include the requirements in the current federal education legislation, No Child Left Behind, for science assessment starting in 2007; the ongoing international assessment, Trends in Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS), which includes more advanced science, particularly for middle school physics and chemistry, than is typical of U.S. schools; and Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA), which assesses science literacy skills often not explicitly taught in U.S. schools.

- **Growth in innovative assessment approaches** that probe students’ understanding of science at greater depth than before (e.g., clusters of items tapping students conceptions of the natural world), sometimes with the use of computer technology.

- **Increase in the full inclusion of formerly excluded groups** in science assessments (e.g., students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency), requiring a new assessment to be as accessible as possible and also to incorporate accommodations so that these populations of students can be fairly assessed. Accommodations should not alter the science constructs being measured.

### Context for Planning the Framework

Any NAEP framework must be guided by NAEP purposes as well as the policies and procedures of the National Assessment Governing Board (NAGB), which oversees NAEP. For the NAEP Science Assessment, the main purpose of the Framework is to establish what students should know and be able to do in science for the 2009 and future assessments. Meeting this purpose requires a framework built around what communities involved in science and science education consider as a rigorous body of science knowledge and skills that are most important for students to master.

In prioritizing the content, the Framework developers used the guidance from the NAEP Science Assessment Steering Committee (see later in this chapter), which recommended the two national documents, National Standards and Benchmarks, as representative of the leading science communities and their expectations for what students should know and be able to do in science. As curriculum frameworks, however, these documents cover a very wide range of science content and performance. The inclusive nature of both these documents demonstrates the difficulty of identifying a key body of knowledge for students to learn in science and, therefore, what should be assessed. Neither document limits or prioritizes content as is necessary for developing an assessment, posing a
considerable challenge to the Framework developers. The development of the Framework also was informed by research in science and science education, best practices, international assessment frameworks, and state standards.

The Framework Development Process

In September 2004, NAGB awarded a contract to WestEd and the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO) to develop a recommended Framework and Science Assessment and Item Specifications for the 2009 NAEP (herein called the Specifications). WestEd and CCSSO, in collaboration with the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), the Council of State Science Supervisors (CSSS), and the National Science Teachers Association (NSTA), used a process designed to accomplish the purposes of this project with special attention given to the assessment issues that are specific to K-12 science achievement. The process for developing the Framework and related products was inclusive and deliberate, designed to achieve as much broad-based input as possible.

A two-tiered committee structure, consisting of a Steering Committee and a Planning Committee, provided the expertise to develop the Framework as specified by NAGB. (See Appendix A for lists of committee members.) The two committees were composed of members who were diverse in terms of role, gender, race/ethnicity, region of the country, and perspectives regarding the content of the assessment to be developed.

Made up of leaders in science, science education, general education, assessment, and various public constituencies, the Science Assessment Steering Committee set the course for the project. Functioning as a policy and oversight body, this group developed a charge that outlined what the Planning Committee should attend to in the development of the Framework. The committee also reviewed drafts of the Framework and related materials and provided feedback on these documents.

The Science Assessment Planning Committee, supported by the project staff, was the development and production group responsible for drafting the Framework, the Specifications, recommendations for background variables, and designs for one or more small-scale studies. This committee was made up of science teachers, district and state science personnel, science educators in higher education, scientists, and assessment experts. The Planning Committee’s work was guided by policies, goals, and principles identified by the Steering Committee. In addition, the content of an Issues and Recommendations paper (Champagne et al., 2004) developed specifically for this NAEP project, syntheses of state and national curriculum standards, international assessment frameworks, research papers, and the frameworks for the 2005 NAEP Mathematics and 1996-2005 NAEP Science Assessments facilitated the Planning Committee’s work.

The structure for conducting the work consisted of a series of meetings. From December 2004 through September 2005, the Steering Committee met three times and the Planning Committee met six times; two of the Steering Committee meetings overlapped with Planning Committee meetings. NAGB staff supported and participated in the work of the
committees during the meetings. Additionally, in between formal work sessions, NAGB members and staff provided ongoing feedback and guidance on project documents and processes.

During the spring of 2005, CCSSO lead a series of outreach efforts to solicit feedback on draft versions of the Framework. Formal activities included (1) a series of 13 regional meetings held across the country and hosted by CCSSO and members of the Council of State Science Supervisors (CSSS), (2) a national meeting of CSSS representatives, and (3) a web-based survey of science teachers distributed through the National Science Teachers Association (NSTA). Also, an invitational science and industry feedback forum was held in Atlanta in conjunction with a NAGB meeting. These activities are discussed in A Summary of National Feedback Provided on Preliminary Drafts Gathered from Surveys and Regional and National Feedback Meetings (2005). (This report is available from CCSSO.) Feedback from these sessions has been incorporated into the Framework. Examples include reduction of the number of statements of science content to be assessed; a comparison of the old and new Science Frameworks; and ensuring a high level of consistency in scope, specificity, language, and format among the science content areas.

Other related outreach included presentations and sessions held with the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS); the CSSS annual conference; the NSTA national convention; meetings of the National Research Council (NRC)’s Board on Science Education and Committee on Science Learning K-8; and CCSSO’s Mega-SCASS (State Collaborative on Assessment and Student Standards) conference, Large-Scale Assessment conference, and Education Information Management Advisory Consortium (EIMAC). The Planning Committee reviewed feedback from these groups as well as that from the Steering Committee and made changes as it saw appropriate. After final approval from the Steering Committee, the Framework, the Specifications, and related products were submitted to NAGB for action. A final copy of the Framework was submitted to NAGB in November of 2005; final copies of the Specifications and related products were submitted to NAGB in March of 2006.

**Steering Committee Guidelines**

Because of the importance of the Steering Committee Guidelines to the Planning Committee in developing the Framework, the major points of the Guidelines are summarized in the following bulleted text. (The complete document may be found in Appendix B.) The Guidelines consist of a set of criteria that the Framework and Specifications need to meet.

- The **Framework** is informed by the National Standards and Benchmarks. The Framework should reflect the nation’s best thinking about the importance and age-appropriateness of science principles and thus be informed by two national documents that were subject to extensive internal and external reviews during their development.
- The **Framework** reflects the nature and practice of science. The National Standards and Benchmarks include standards addressing science as inquiry,
nature of science, history of science, and the human-made world. The Framework should emphasize the importance of these aspects of science education and should include the expectation that students will understand the nature and practice of science. Because the scientific disciplines are no longer practiced in isolation and research that cuts across discipline boundaries is common, the Framework should identify some of the science concepts and skills that cut across the assessed subject areas. The Framework should address science in both the natural and human-made world, as well as social and historical contexts.

- **The Framework uses assessment content, formats, and accommodations consistent with the objectives being assessed.** It should be guided by the best available research on assessment item design and delivery. The Framework should be inclusive of student diversity as reflected in gender, geographic location, language proficiency, race/ethnicity, socio-economic status, and disability. The assessment should be designed and written to be accessible by the majority of students, minimizing the need for special accommodations for both students with disabilities and those with limited English proficiency. However, those students with special needs should be provided appropriate accommodations to allow them to participate in the assessment. The Framework should reflect knowledge about the acquisition of key science concepts over time, based on research about how students learn. Critical content and skills should be articulated and assessed across grades 4, 8, and 12 (vertically), as well as across the fields of science (horizontally) by creating items that are deliberately layered to achieve these goals.

- **The Framework uses a variety of assessment formats.** This includes well-constructed multiple-choice and open-ended items as well as performance tasks. In addition, multiple methods of assessment delivery should be considered, including the appropriate uses of computer technology.

- **Each achievement level—Basic, Proficient, and Advanced—includes a range of items assessing various levels of cognitive knowledge that is broad enough to ensure each knowledge level is measured with the same degree of accuracy.** Descriptions of Basic, Proficient, and Advanced must be as clear as possible.

- **Connections among the Framework, the Specifications, and the assessment items themselves are transparent, coherent, and have a consistent level of specificity.** The Specifications should be written with detail consistent with the Framework. The content addressed in the Specifications should reflect the standards and focus on the significant information and knowledge that students should retain (e.g., big ideas, fundamental understandings) over time, such as ten years after they leave school. The verbs used in the Specifications should describe the expected target for assessment (e.g., identify, describe, evaluate, relate, analyze, and demonstrate). The content expectations should match in level of specificity and scope across the disciplines. The Specifications should follow the idea of learning progressions. To assess overarching concepts or themes, the Specifications should reflect a scaffolded or layered understanding of growth in knowledge of the concepts.
The Framework addresses the use of assessment data to conduct research on science learning and to improve science achievement. Data (background variables) from the assessment should be collected in such a way as to provide information that supplies details of the characteristics of the students being assessed (e.g., race/ethnicity, gender, etc.), the academic preparation of their teachers, and the nature of their schools. Such data provide feedback to educators for improving science instruction and learning.

Uses of NAEP Data

For more than four decades, NAEP has provided information integral to evaluating the condition and progress of education at grades 4, 8, and 12 for the nation, and more recently, for the states and for a set of large urban school districts. Legislation concerning NAEP states that the purpose of NAEP is to provide, in a timely manner, a fair and accurate measurement of student academic achievement and reporting of trends in such achievement in reading, mathematics, and other subject matter (Public Law 107-279).

Because of its rigorous design and methodology, NAEP reports are increasingly used for monitoring the state of education in the subjects that are assessed, as models for designing other large-scale assessments, and for secondary research purposes.

Monitoring

As the nation’s only ongoing survey of students’ educational progress, NAEP has become an increasingly important resource for obtaining information on what students know and can do. Because the information it generates is available to policymakers, educators, and the public, NAEP can be used as a tool for monitoring student achievement in reading, mathematics, science, and other subjects at the national, state, and selected district levels. For example, NAEP reports, known as “The Nation’s Report Card,” compare student performance in a given subject across states, within the subject over time, or among groups of students within the same grade. NAEP also reports long-term achievement trends for 9-, 13-, and 17-year-olds in reading and mathematics (see, for example, NAEP 2004: Trends in Academic Progress). To the extent that individual state standards reflect the common core of knowledge and skills specified in the Framework, state comparisons can legitimately be made. If a state has idiosyncratic standards, any comparison is limited by the degree of mismatch between NAEP content and state content. Even with this caveat, NAEP still stands as a barometer for what students know and can do in science, according to a national standard, at grades 4, 8, and 12.

Model of Assessment Development and Methods

NAEP assessment frameworks and specifications documents are themselves used as resources for international, state, and local curriculum and assessment. The broad-based process used in the development of the frameworks and specifications means that current thinking is reflected in these descriptions of what students should know and be able to do.
in a given subject. In addition, NAEP uses a rigorous and carefully designed process in developing the assessment instruments themselves. Pilot tests and internal and external reviews ensure that NAEP assessments are reliable and valid with respect to what they attempt to accomplish. This sophisticated methodology serves as a model for other assessment developers. Given the requirements of assessing science contained in No Child Left Behind, use of NAEP as a model will continue as states look for guidance for their own assessment development.

Research and Policy

The data NAEP provides include subject-matter achievement results (reported as both scale scores and achievement levels) for various subgroups; background information about schools, teachers, and students at the subgroup level (e.g., course-taking patterns of Hispanic male 12th graders); state-level results; reports for a set of large urban districts; history of state and district participation; and publicly released assessment questions, student responses, and scoring guides. The NAEP Web site (http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard) contains user-friendly data analysis software to enable policymakers, researchers, and others to examine all aspects of NAEP data, perform significance tests, and create customized graphic displays of NAEP results. These data and software tools can be used to inform policymaking and for secondary analyses and other research purposes.

Challenges of Developing a NAEP Assessment

There are three major challenges in developing a NAEP framework, and, in particular, this Framework. One such challenge arises from measurement constraints and the nature of the items included on the assessment; the next relates to time and resource constraints and how much can be assessed in NAEP; and the last comes from the time horizon for the Framework and the difficulty of developing a ten-year framework with the rapid explosion of knowledge in the Information Age. Each of these is discussed in detail below:

Measurement Constraints

NAEP, like any large-scale assessment in education, the workplace, or clinical practice, is constrained in what it can measure. This has implications for the proper interpretation of NAEP Science Assessment results. The Framework is an assessment framework, not a curriculum framework. Although the two are clearly interrelated, each has a different purpose and a different set of underlying assumptions. A curriculum framework is designed to inform instruction, to guide what is taught, and often, how it is taught. It represents a very wide universe of learning outcomes from which teachers pick and choose. An assessment framework is a subset of the achievement universe from which assessment developers must choose to develop sets of items that can be assessed within time and resource constraints. Hence, the science content to be assessed by NAEP has been identified as that considered central to the Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Sciences. As a result, some important outcomes of science education that are difficult
and time-consuming to measure—such as habits of mind, sustained inquiry, collaborative research—but valued by scientists, science educators, and the business community, will be only partially represented in the Framework and on the NAEP Science Assessment. Moreover, the wide range of science standards in the guiding national documents that could be incorporated into the Framework had to be reduced in number so as to allow some in-depth probing of fundamental science content. As a result, the Framework and the Specifications represent a careful distillation that is not a complete representation of the original universe of achievement outcomes desirable for science education.

**Time and Resource Constraints**

What NAEP can assess is limited by time and resources. Like most standardized assessments, NAEP is an “on demand” assessment; it ascertains what students know and can do in a limited amount of time (50 minutes for paper-and-pencil questions and, for a sub-sample of students, an additional 20-30 minutes for hands-on or interactive computer tasks), with limited access to resources (e.g., reference materials, feedback from peers and teachers, opportunities for reflection and revision). The national and state standards, however, contain goals that require extended time (days, weeks, or months). In assessing the achievement of students in the kinds of extended activities that are a central feature of the national and state standards and many science curricula, then, it is necessary to know, for example, the quality of students’

- reasoning while framing their research questions;
- planning for data collection and the execution of that plan;
- abilities to meet unpredictable challenges that arise during an actual, ongoing scientific investigation;
- lines of argument in deciding how to alter their experimental approach in the light of new evidence;
- engagement with fellow students and/or the teacher in interpreting an observation or result and deciding what to do about it; and
- deliberations and reasoning when settling on the defensible conclusions that might be drawn from their work.

NAEP, like other “on demand” assessments, then, cannot be used to draw conclusions about student achievement with respect to the full range of goals of science education. States, districts, schools, and teachers can supplement NAEP and other standardized assessments to assess the full range of science education standards. In addition to describing the content and format of an examination, assessment frameworks, like this one, signal to the public and to teachers what elements of a subject are important. The absence of extended inquiry in NAEP, however, is not intended to signal its relative importance in the curriculum. Indeed, because of the significance of inquiry in science education, the Framework promotes as much consideration of inquiry as can be accomplished within the time and resources available for assessment.
Balancing Current and Future Curricula

The Framework attempts to strike a balance between what can reasonably be predicted about future school science and what students are likely to encounter in their curriculum and instruction now and in the near future. It is a significant challenge to write a framework for the future. Cutting-edge science research creates new knowledge at the intersection of disciplinary boundaries. For example, research on human and natural systems has generated new understandings about environmental science that are closely linked to knowledge generated in the physical, life, and Earth and space sciences. Although the Framework is organized into the more traditional Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Sciences, features of current science research are woven throughout.

Another example of burgeoning knowledge relates to technology and technological design and the role of both in the NAEP Science Assessment. Technology and technological design are closely interrelated with science, yet the focus of this assessment is science. Hence, technology and technological design are included in the Framework but are limited to that which has a direct bearing on the assessment of students’ science achievement. (See Chapter Three.)

The Framework is intended to be both forward-looking (in terms of what science content will be of central importance in the future) and reflective (in terms of current school science). Because it is impossible to predict with certainty the shape of school science in 2009 and beyond, the choices made for 2009 should be revisited in response to future developments in school science.

Achievement Levels

Public Law 107-279 specifies NAGB’s responsibilities regarding NAEP, including the identification of appropriate achievement goals for each age and grade in the subject areas assessed by NAEP.

To carry out its mandated responsibility to set appropriate achievement goals for NAEP, NAGB adopted an achievement levels policy in 1989 (modified in 1993). This policy sets forth three levels of achievement—Basic, Proficient, and Advanced. Basic denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade. Proficient represents solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter. Advanced signifies superior performance. These levels are the primary means of reporting NAEP results to the general public and policymakers regarding what students should know and be able to do on NAEP assessments. (See Appendix C for the NAEP Science Preliminary Achievement Level Descriptions and additional information about their development and use.)
Introduction to the Assessment Framework

Science is comprised of both content and practices. The NAEP Science Assessment provides a snapshot view of what the nation's 4th, 8th, and 12th graders know and can do in science. One expects students, as a result of their education and life experiences, to have learned about the principles, laws, theories, and facts that have been verified by the community of scientists, as well as how scientists discover regularities in the natural world. NAEP will assess students’ abilities to identify science principles, use science principles, as well as conduct scientific inquiry, and employ technological design. (See Chapter Three.) While the Framework distinguishes content from practice, the two are closely linked in assessment and in real life.

The Framework addresses scientific knowledge and processes. Science is a way of knowing about the natural world that is based on tested explanations supported by accumulated empirical evidence. Explanations of natural phenomena that rely on non-scientific views are not reflected in the Framework. The committees responsible for the Framework development relied on National Standards, Benchmarks, international frameworks, and state standards for content about the nature and practice of science. As stated in the National Standards:

Scientific explanations must meet certain criteria. First and foremost, they must be consistent with experimental and observational evidence about nature, and must make accurate predictions, when appropriate, about systems being studied. They should also be logical, respect the rules of evidence, be open to criticism, report methods and procedures, and make knowledge public (p. 201).

The design of the NAEP Science Assessment is guided by the Framework’s descriptions of the science content and practices to be assessed. Figure 1 illustrates how content and practices are combined (“crossed”) to generate performance expectations. The columns contain the science content (defined by science content statements in three major areas), and the rows contain the four science practices. The cells at the intersection of content (columns) and practices (rows) contain student performance expectations. Note that the content and practice categories are not distinct; and therefore, some overlap in the resultant performance expectations is to be expected.
Figure 1. Crossing Content and Practices to Generate Performance Expectations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Practices</th>
<th>Physical Science content statements</th>
<th>Life Science content statements</th>
<th>Earth and Space Science content statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifying Science Principles</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using Science Principles</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employing Technological Design</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
<td>Performance Expectations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2 illustrates the fuller process of generating and interpreting assessment items in order to make inferences about students’ science understandings. Figure 2 begins with student performance expectations, which describe in observable terms what students are expected to know and/or do on the assessment. These performance expectations guide the development of assessment items. The cognitive demands (see Chapter Three) of the items can then be used to interpret students’ responses as evidence of what students know and can do in science. Figure 2 suggests a linear process, but the development of an assessment is iterative (for example, assessment items are modified based on student responses provided on trials of pilot versions).
The next three sections provide overviews of the succeeding chapters of the *Framework*.

Three types of textboxes are used throughout the *Framework*: Clarification, Crosscutting Content, and Illustrative Item. Clarification textboxes provide details on potentially confusing topics, such as the distinction between mass and weight. Crosscutting Content textboxes provide descriptions of science content that cuts across the three broad content areas, such as energy transformations. Illustrative Item textboxes provide assessment items that exemplify recommendations discussed in the text.

**Chapter Two: Science Content**

Key principles, concepts, and facts that describe regularities in the natural world are presented in Chapter Two as a series of content statements to be assessed at grades 4, 8, and 12. Taken together, these statements comprise the NAEP science content. They define only what is to be assessed by NAEP and are not intended to serve as a science curriculum framework. The content statements should be revisited periodically in response to new developments in science research and school curriculum.
The content statements are organized according to the three broad content areas that generally make up the K-12 school curriculum:

- Physical Science
- Life Science
- Earth and Space Science

Classifying content statements into one content area is not always clear-cut, but doing so helps ensure that the areas of science are assessed in a balanced way. Some common content is found to be significant in each of the three content areas (e.g., energy conservation and its associated principles are applicable to the living and non-living systems studied by physical, life, and Earth scientists); this crosscutting content is further described in Chapter Two.

The content statements are derived from National Standards and Benchmarks, as well as informed by international frameworks and state standards. Content statements have been added where warranted by advances in science since the development of the standards documents. The selection of science content statements to be assessed at each grade level focuses on principles central to each discipline, tracks related ideas across grade levels, and limits the breadth of science knowledge to be assessed. The selection of content statements used an iterative approach and took into account the many perspectives of various stakeholders.

Chapter Three: Science Practices

The following science practices are found in most or all of the major sources used to develop the Framework (see standards documents listed above). The practices to be assessed at grades 4, 8, and 12 are organized into four categories:

- Identifying Science Principles
- Using Science Principles
- Conducting Scientific Inquiry
- Employing Technological Design

In reviewing the four science practices developed by the Planning Committee, the Steering Committee made the following recommendation: Remove Employing Technological Design as a category of science practice. Use Technological Design as a context for some science assessment items.

The rationale for this recommendation is as follows. As this is a science assessment, all items should be focused on measuring students’ understanding of components of science, not on measuring students’ understanding of components of technological design. Moreover, at present, technological design is taught and assessed to only a limited extent in U.S. schools; therefore, inclusion in the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment is premature. If “Employing Technological Design” is not recommended as a science practice for the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment, this decision should be revisited after 2009.
As shown in Figure 1, the Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science content statements listed in Chapter Two can be deliberately combined (“crossed”) with each of the above four practices to generate specific performance expectations. These performance expectations are written in observable terms and guide the development of assessment items. Performance expectations thus provide an outline of what the NAEP Science Assessment will expect as evidence of what students know and can do. At the end of Chapter Three, examples of performance expectations, items, and interpretations of student responses are provided. (Also, see the Specifications for more examples.)

Students’ understanding increases over time as they learn more and more, moving from initially naïve to increasingly more sophisticated knowledge about the natural world. These learning progressions are further described in Chapter Three.

Both in this chapter and in Chapter Four, illustrative items are found in textboxes. Answers to selected-response items are indicated within the textbox; scoring guides for constructed-response items are provided in Appendix D.

**Chapter Four: Overview of the Specifications**

Chapter Four provides an overview of the Specifications. Assessment item contexts, types of items, distribution of items, accessibility concerns for students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities, and recommendations for small-scale special studies are all discussed in this chapter.

Beyond the science content statements and practices, there are other valuable components of science that will not be directly assessed by NAEP. These components—the history and nature of science and the relationship between science and technology—are treated in Chapter Four as providing possible contexts in which assessment items may be presented. The specific opportunities that exist for the incorporation of these components into assessment item contexts are identified in the Specifications.

The types of items to be used on the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment fall into two broad categories: selected-response items and constructed-response items. Selected-response items range from individual multiple-choice items to cluster items to Predict-Observe-Explain (POE) items. Constructed-response items range from short and extended constructed-response items to POE items to concept mapping tasks to hands-on performance and interactive computer tasks. (See Chapter Four for a fuller explanation of these items, including examples.)

Chapter Four recommends three types of percentages for item distribution (as measured by student response time) at each grade level:
- Items by content area (Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Sciences)
- Items by science practice (Identifying Science Principles, Using Science Principles, Conducting Scientific Inquiry, and Employing Technological Design)
- Items by type (Selected-response and Constructed-response items)
Additional specifications about the number of hands-on performance tasks and interactive computer tasks are also provided.

Chapter Four also describes considerations for students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities. In particular, NAEP assessments need to be responsive to growing demands of increased inclusion of all types of students in the general curriculum, and increased emphasis and commitment to serve and be accountable for all students. A number of small-scale special studies also are recommended at the end of Chapter Four.

Comparing the NAEP Science Frameworks: 1996-2005 vs. 2009

The chapter overviews provided above reflect the differences between the 1996-2005 and the 2009 NAEP Science Frameworks. For example, the 2009 Steering and Planning Committees had the resources of a variety of new standards and assessments to draw from. They also were able to extract findings from research in science, science education, and cognition, as well as consider the use of technology to increase the assessment-taking options. Table 1 lists the major differences between the two NAEP Science frameworks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Content</th>
<th>1996-2005 Framework</th>
<th>2009 Framework</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Few science standards available on which to base the content to be assessed</td>
<td>Content drawn from existing standards and assessment frameworks: National Standards, Benchmarks, TIMSS, PISA, and state standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content areas organized into Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth Science</td>
<td>Content areas organized into Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommendations on distribution of questions by fields of science and grade: approximately equal distribution in grades 4 and 12; a somewhat heavier emphasis on Life Science in grade 8</td>
<td>Recommendations on distribution of questions by science content area and grade: equal weight for all three sciences in grade 4; emphasis on Earth and Space Science in grade 8; emphasis on Physical Science and Life Science at grade 12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content to be assessed presented as bullets and short phrases</td>
<td>Content presented as statements in tables organized by science content sub-areas (e.g., “Energy” from Physical Science) and by grade level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework employed three abstract themes: Systems, Models, and Patterns of Change</td>
<td>Framework employs crosscutting content among Life, Physical, and Earth and Space Sciences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment asked questions about the nature of science
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>1996-2005 Framework</strong></th>
<th><strong>2009 Framework</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Science practices assessed were experience-based</td>
<td>Nature of science treated within science practices, particularly Using Science Principles and Conducting Scientific Inquiry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment included items on practical reasoning, i.e., applying science to suggest effective solutions to everyday problems</td>
<td>Science practices assessed are research-based and take into account the cognitive complexity of the items</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forty-five percent of the assessment focused on conceptual understanding</td>
<td>Fifty-five percent of the assessment to focus on conceptual understanding (Identifying and Using Science Principles).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment included both paper-and-pencil and hands-on performance tasks</td>
<td>Assessment includes paper-and-pencil questions, hands-on performance tasks, and interactive computer tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No illustrative items to convey science knowledge or practices in the Framework; only a few examples provided in the Specifications</td>
<td>Illustrative items that convey science knowledge and/or practices included in both the Framework and the Specifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment uses the history of science and the relationship between science and technology as contexts for questions</td>
<td>Framework and Specifications include guidelines for assessing students with disabilities and limited English proficient students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framework includes examples showing how questions are generated and interpreted</td>
<td>Students’ misconceptions about science principles to be explicitly assessed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER TWO: SCIENCE CONTENT

Introduction

This chapter presents a series of statements that describe the science content of the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment. The content statements contain key science principles for NAEP assessment. Note that, in the Framework, the phrase “science principles” is broadly conceived and encompasses the key concepts, facts, theories, and ideas of science. In order to specify the science that should be assessed at each grade level, the Framework organizes the science content into the three broad content areas that generally make up the K-12 school curriculum to which students are exposed:

- Physical Science
- Life Science
- Earth and Space Science

Classifying statements into one primary content area is not always clear-cut and is artificial to some extent; in fact, some of the most exciting scientific discoveries occur at the interfaces of these areas (e.g., Ernest Rutherford’s discovery of the nucleus earned this physicist the Nobel Prize in Chemistry, and Rosalyn Yalow’s work on radioimmunoassay earned this physicist the Nobel Prize in Medicine). However, using three broad content areas as an organizer helps ensure that key science content is assessed in a balanced way.

In the interest of clarity, tables are used to depict the content statements at each grade level (columns). The content statements are based on the assumption that a science literate person is one who understands key science ideas; is aware that science and technology are interdependent human enterprises with strengths and limitations; is familiar with the natural world and recognizes both its diversity and unity; and uses scientific knowledge and ways of thinking for individual and social purposes (see AAAS, 1990, p. xvii).

Two types of textboxes are used throughout this chapter. Clarification textboxes provide details on potentially confusing content, such as the distinction between mass and weight. Crosscutting Content textboxes provide descriptions of science content that cuts across the three broad content areas, such as energy transformations.

Development of the Content Statements

The selection and generation of specific content statements at each grade level followed a similar approach across the three broad content areas:

- The National Standards and Benchmarks were used as key documents for identifying the science content to be assessed, pursuant to the charge from the Steering Committee. Various tools (crosswalks between National Standards and Benchmarks from Project 2061 and McREL) were used to crosscheck the documents’ content standards and benchmark statements, and those that were
common to both documents were generally given priority. On a case-by-case basis, content not represented in both documents was discussed, and decisions made about inclusion or exclusion. Additions were made where warranted by scientific advances in the decade or more since the development of the National Standards and Benchmarks, or as a consequence of international assessment results from TIMSS and PISA.

- The focus in the selection process was on the central principles of each discipline. The content statements in the Framework represent foundational and pervasive knowledge, key points of scientific theories, and underpinnings upon which complex understandings are built; and/or they demonstrate connectivity to other central content.
- A primary consideration was the grade-level appropriateness and accuracy within grade level of content statements.
- Once key content was identified within subtopics, the progression of ideas and performances was tracked through grades 4, 8, and 12. Where possible, available research informed this linking.
- A deliberate attempt was made to limit the breadth of science content to be assessed so that some important areas could be assessed in-depth. Once core content was identified in each science area, additional content statements could be added only if others previously included were eliminated.

The selection and generation of content statements for inclusion in the Framework was not a linear process. While the Planning Committee attempted to use clear and concise language, the complexities associated with the task of defining what students should know and be able to do in science, at particular points in their development, necessitated an iterative approach that included many perspectives. In addition to internal review by the project Committees and staff, outreach activities gathered external feedback on the content statements from a variety of stakeholders (teachers, school and district administrators, state science education personnel, policymakers, scientists, and members of the business, industry, and post-secondary communities). The Framework should be revisited in the future as new research becomes available and as the influence of new developments in science takes shape in the K-12 curriculum.

**Interpretation of the Content Statements**

In the Framework, the content statements follow a form that is consistent with the National Standards, Benchmarks, and the practice of the scientific community. The content statements are phrased as propositions that express science principles. Based on evidence, these principles have been verified by the scientific community and are under constant review. An example of how one 8th grade physical science principle is represented in the Framework, National Standards, and Benchmarks is provided in Table 2.
Table 2. One 8th Grade Physical Science Principle Represented in the Framework, National Standards, and Benchmarks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Framework</th>
<th>National Standards</th>
<th>Benchmarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forces have magnitude and direction. Forces can be added. The net force</td>
<td>If more than one force acts on an object along a straight line, then the forces will</td>
<td>Any object maintains a constant speed and direction of motion unless an unbalanced outside force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>on an object is the sum of all the forces acting on the object. A net</td>
<td>reinforce or cancel one another, depending on their directions and magnitude. Unbalanced</td>
<td>acts on it (p. 63).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>force greater than zero on an object changes the object’s motion; that</td>
<td>forces will cause changes in the speed or direction of an object’s motion (p. 154).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is, the object’s speed and/or direction of motion changes. A net force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of zero on an object does not change the object’s motion; that is, the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object remains at rest or continues to move at a constant speed in a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>straight line. (P8.16)²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The content statements form the basis for explaining or predicting naturally occurring phenomena. For example, the above content statement about objects in motion can be used to explain and predict the motions of many different specific objects: an ice skater, an automobile, an electron, or a planet.

The content statements do not include observations of phenomena. As the content statements are written, the empirical foundations of the science principles they represent are not detailed. Instead, knowledge is presented in general terms, such as patterns in observations or theoretical models that account for these patterns. Because the NAEP assessment will require students to apply content statements to specific observations of phenomena, the range of specific phenomena needs to be clarified. Examples of appropriate phenomena are provided in Chapter Four and in the Specifications.

The process of item development and making inferences about students’ knowledge and abilities (see Figure 1, Chapter One) necessitates further clarification of the content statements themselves. This involves both “unpacking” the meanings of the content statements (e.g., “boiling point” assumes standard atmospheric pressure) and defining the boundaries of the content to be assessed (e.g., 12th grade students are expected to know that DNA provides instructions for assembling proteins, but not the details of DNA transcription and translation). Please see Table 3 for an example of “unpacking” and defining the boundaries of an 8th grade Physical Science content statement. Whenever possible, clarification of content statements was informed by available learning research; links to relevant research are provided in the Specifications. The process of “unpacking” and defining boundaries is illustrated for just a few content statements. These illustrative examples are provided in Chapter Four and in the Specifications. Assessment developers should continue this process for as many content statements as possible.

² This content statement is longer in the Framework than in Benchmarks or National Standards, not because it introduces additional science principles, but because it has adopted more detailed language.
Table 3. “Unpacking” and Defining the Boundaries of a Physical Science Content Statement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Statement</th>
<th>Waves, including sound and seismic waves, waves on water, and light waves, have energy and transfer energy when they interact with matter. (P8.10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Unpack the content | 1. Waves involve transfer of energy without a transfer of matter.  
2. Waves are caused by disturbances. Some of the energy of these disturbances is transmitted by the wave.  
3. Water, sound, and seismic waves transfer energy through a material.  
4. Some waves are transverse (water, seismic) and other waves are longitudinal (sound, seismic).  
5. In transverse waves the direction of the motion is perpendicular to the disturbance.  
6. In longitudinal waves the direction of motion is parallel to the disturbance.  
7. Waves traveling from one material to another undergo transmission, reflection and/or changes in speed.  
8. Waves can be described by their wavelength, amplitude, frequency, and speed (speed=frequency x wavelength; energy is a function of the amplitude for non-electromagnetic waves). |
| Define the content boundaries | • Light as a wave is not included.  
• No calculations of energy are expected.  
• Refraction is not considered.  
These may be included for grade 12. |

In order to fully understand the content statements and their intent, please note the following:

- While all content statements have been assigned a primary classification, some are likely to fall into more than one content area.
- Some assessment items may draw on more than one content statement at a time.
- The content statements listed in the Framework describe the whole of what is to be assessed on the 2009 NAEP. The content statements should not be interpreted as a complete description of the school science that should be taught leading up to and at these grade levels.

The description of each of the three broad content areas starts with a brief introduction and is followed by the content statements presented in tables by grade and sub-area. Empty cells in the content statement tables denote that a particular area is not appropriate for assessment at that grade level.

As an organizational tool, each content statement is followed by a unique code, for example, “Sorting and recombination of genes in sexual reproduction results in a great variety of possible gene combinations from the offspring of any two parents” (L12.10). Within the code, the letter denotes broad content area (P for Physical Science, L for Life Science, and E for Earth and Space Science); the number before the period denotes grade.
level (grade 4, 8, or 12); the number following the period denotes the content statement’s order of appearance within a given content area and grade. So, L12.10 denotes that this is the tenth content statement to appear in the grade 12 section of the Life Science content statements table.

**Crosscutting Content**

Scientists define their specializations narrowly (astronomy, molecular biology, organic chemistry, and so on) to organize their research communities; and the categories of Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science are helpful for organizing school science. These categorizations mask the fact that there are principles found in the natural world that cut across the content areas. In this *Framework*, crosscutting content is not represented by abstractions such as “models,” “constancy and change,” or “form and function,” but is anchored in the content statements themselves. Some examples of crosscutting content are described in textboxes that appear throughout the content area introductions.
Physical Science

Physical science principles, including fundamental ideas about matter, energy, and motion, are powerful conceptual tools for making sense of phenomena in physical, living, and Earth systems. Familiar changes—an ice cube melting, a baseball changing direction after being struck by a bat, the appearance of a bolt of lightning, the formation and erosion of mountains, and the growth of a plant—can be explained using these fundamental ideas.²

Every change that occurs in our environments involves energy. In all of these transformations, energy is conserved. Electrical storms, hurricanes, and tornados involve energy transformations that are fueled by energy from the sun. Similarly, the energy transformations involved in photosynthesis and respiration begin with the sun’s energy. Photosynthesis involves the transformation of light energy into chemical energy, stored in carbohydrate molecules; and respiration is the conversion of that chemical energy into other forms of energy essential for life processes. Consequently, it is important for students to develop an understanding of physical science principles early and to appreciate their usefulness across Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science.

The physical science principles to be assessed are written as content statements and sorted into three broad topics—Matter, Energy, and Motion. Matter is the “stuff” of the natural world. Energy is involved in all changes in matter. Motion of the heavenly bodies, of objects in our daily experiences (e.g., balls, birds, and cars), and of the tiny particles (atoms, molecules, and their component parts) composing all objects and substances is the result of interactions of matter and energy. The content statements have been divided into topics as summarized in Table 4.

### Table 4. Physical Science Content Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Properties of Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forms of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Conversions and Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motion at the Macroscopic and Molecular Levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forces Affecting Motion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

² The importance of developing understanding early and making connections among the physical, life, Earth, and space sciences has motivated increased attention to physical science in elementary school and prompted consideration of rearranging the usual Earth–life–chemistry–physics curriculum sequence.
Matter

The broad topic, Matter, is divided into two sub-topics, Properties of Matter and Changes in Matter. Conservation of mass, the particulate model of matter, and the Periodic Table of the Elements are the conceptual glue tying together these two topics and their related principles.

Properties of Matter

Matter has physical and chemical properties. Physical properties common to all matter as well as those physical properties unique to solids, liquids, and gases are topics in the Framework, as are chemical properties. All objects and substances in the natural world are composed of matter. Matter has two fundamental properties: matter takes up space, and matter has inertia—it changes motion only when under the influence of a net force. (grade 4). Please see the following textbox, “A Matter of Mass,” for more on mass vs. weight, and the Framework’s treatment of this distinction.

Clarification: A Matter of Mass

Mass is a property common to all objects. It is the amount of matter (or “stuff”) in an object. The more mass an object has, the more inertia (or “sluggishness”) it displays when attempts are made to change its speed or direction. Mass is measured in grams (g) or kilograms (kg) (1 kg = 1000 g) using a beam or electronic balance.

Weight, on the other hand, measures the force of gravity on an object. Every object exerts gravitational force on every other object. The force depends on how much mass the objects have and on how far apart they are. Force and weight are measured in Newtons (N) using a spring scale.

Changing an object’s position (say from Earth to the Moon) will change its weight, but not its mass. For example, on the surface of Earth, a cannon ball has a mass of 10 kg and a weight of 98 N. On the surface of the moon, that same cannon ball still has a mass of 10 kg, but its weight is only 16 N. So, the cannon ball weighs less on the moon than on Earth, even though nothing has been taken away. Why? The force of gravity on Earth is greater than the force of gravity on the moon because of the moon’s lesser mass. Hence, an object on the moon weighs less than the same object weighs on Earth.

These concepts of mass and weight are complicated and potentially confusing to 4th grade students. Hence, this Framework uses the more familiar term “weight” in grade 4 to stand for both weight and mass; this usage is denoted as follows: “weight [mass].” By grades 8 and 12, students are expected to understand the distinction between mass and weight, and thus, both terms will be used.

3 Following current NAEP practice, metric units of measure are used for grades 4, 8, 12.
Matter also exists in three physical states, each of which has unique properties (grade 4).\(^4\) Shape and compressibility are examples of properties that distinguish solids, liquids, and gases (grade 4).

The particulate model of matter can be used to explain and predict the properties of states of matter, for example, why ice is harder than liquid water; and why ice (once formed) has a shape independent of its container while liquid water takes the shape of whatever container it is in (grade 4). In the particulate model of matter, the molecules or atoms of which matter is composed are assumed to be tiny particles in motion (grade 8). The motion is translational, rotational, and vibrational (grade 12). This model now can be used to explain the properties of solids, liquids, and gases, as well as changes of state. The particulate model can be used to explain the unique properties of water, as described in the following textbox.

### Clarification: Unique Properties of Water

**Grade 12: Matter—Changes in Matter: Changes of state require a transfer of energy.**

Water has unusually high-energy changes associated with its changes of state. (P12.5)

The unique properties of water have important consequences for Earth Systems and Life Science, including the origin and existence of life on Earth. Understanding the substance of water requires knowledge across the Physical Science categories of Matter, Energy, and Motion.

Water’s unique properties can be explained by the shape of the water molecule, the forces between water molecules in ice and liquid water, and the arrangement of molecules in ice and liquid water. In contrast to most substances, where the solid form is denser than the liquid form, the density of ice is less than the density of water. In comparison with most other substances, changes in the state of water require unusually high changes in energy, which accounts for its high melting and boiling points compared to other molecules of similar size.

The detailed structures of molecules and atoms that compose them serve as models that explain the forces of attraction between molecules. The structure of atoms, especially the outermost electrons, explains the chemical properties of the elements and the formation of the chemical bonds that are made and broken during chemical reactions (grade 12). The Periodic Table of the Elements (introduced at grade 8) is another way in which order can be made out of the complexity of the variety of types of matter. (In grade 8, the emphasis is on observed periodicity of properties.) The Periodic Table demonstrates the relationship between the atomic number of the elements and their chemical and physical properties and provides a structure for inquiry into the characteristics of the chemical elements (grade 12).

---

\(^4\) Plasma is a fourth state of matter that has unusual physical properties and is not often found in students’ experience. Consequently, it is not included in the Framework.
Two classes of chemical substances serve as exemplars of chemical properties. One class is metals (elements) and the other class is acids (compounds). A chemical property of metals is to react with non-metals to form salts. Included among the properties of acids is the formation of characteristic colors when interacting with acid/base indicators and the interaction with bases to produce salts and water (neutralization) (grade 8).

Changes in Matter

Matter undergoes physical, chemical, and nuclear changes. Changes from one state to another occur when samples are heated and cooled (grade 4). These changes of state are physical changes. When matter undergoes physical change, generally no changes occur in the structure of the molecules or atoms composing the matter (grade 8). In contrast, matter also undergoes chemical changes, the result of which are changes in the substances that interact (grade 8). These are changes in the structure of the outermost electron shell surrounding the nuclei of the interacting atoms (grade 12). Chemical reactions either release energy to the surroundings or require energy to take place (grade 12). That mass is conserved when matter undergoes physical and chemical changes is a powerful principle for understanding the natural world and was influential in the development of chemical theory. Adherence to the principle discourages the conclusion that something “disappears” (as water appears to disappear from a puddle) and encourages the search for the “missing” matter.

Nuclear reactions, involving changes in the nuclei of atoms, are generally very high-energy and result in the formation of atoms different from those that began the process. In nuclear reactions, mass is not conserved—an appreciable amount is converted into energy (grade 12).

Energy

The broad topic, energy, is divided into two sub-topics, one addressing the forms of energy and the other energy conversions and conservation.

Forms of Energy

Knowing the characteristics of familiar forms of energy (grade 4) and the scientific categories of potential and kinetic energy (grade 8) are useful in coming to an understanding that, for the most part, the natural world can be explained and is predictable. The most basic characteristics of thermal, light, sound, electrical, and mechanical energy, and the relationship between changes in the natural world and energy, are included in the Framework. That two objects, one at a much higher temperature than the other, come to the same temperature when placed in contact with each other is a familiar experience. Heat as a concept can be used to explain this experience (grade 8).

---

5 The term “heat” is used in 4th grade to stand for thermal energy; this usage is denoted as follows: “heat [thermal energy].” “Thermal energy” is used in grades 8 and 12.
Energy Conversions and Conservation

That energy is conserved can be demonstrated by keeping track of the familiar forms of energy as they are converted from one form to another. The chemical potential energy in a battery is converted into electrical energy (charges in motion), which in turn flows through a bulb and is converted into light energy and heat (grade 4). The battery wears out as the bulb produces light and heat. The loss in chemical potential energy equals the light and heat energy produced in the bulb and the wires. Quantitative accounting is complex; however, on a qualitative basis, both the ability to trace energy conversions and the understanding that energy is conserved (grade 8) are of great explanatory and predictive value. The sun as the main energy source for the Earth provides opportunity at all grade levels to make important connections between the science disciplines (see textbox below).

Crosscutting Content: Energy Sources and Transformations

Nuclear reactions occurring deep inside the sun convert matter into energy, heating the sun to tremendous temperatures. At the same time, those high temperatures cause the sun to radiate visible light and many other forms of electromagnetic waves. A small fraction of this light energy reaches Earth, heating the land, air, and water. Some of this energy causes some water to evaporate. The water vapor is carried high into the atmosphere, where it has greater gravitational potential energy. There it cools and condenses, some of it falling into reservoirs behind dams. At many dams, some of this water is directed downhill through tubes, converting the gravitational potential energy into kinetic energy. This water is then used to turn turbines, which convert the kinetic energy into electrical energy. Circuits can be used to carry this electrical energy to houses far from where the dams are. In some of these houses, stereos convert the electrical energy, and we hear music. And so the energy used to power something as commonplace as a light bulb, TV, radio, or stereo can be traced back to nuclear reactions deep inside the sun.

Motion

The broad topic, motion, is divided into two sub-topics. The first addresses motion at the macroscopic and molecular levels, and the second addresses the forces that affect motion.

Motion at the Macroscopic and Molecular Levels

Objects observed in daily life undergo different kinds of motion (grade 4). The Framework distinguishes three kinds of motion (translational, rotational, vibrational) with emphasis on the translational motion of objects in our natural environment. Translational motion is more difficult to describe than it appears because descriptions depend on the position of the observer and the frame of reference used. Speed, velocity, and acceleration of objects in translational motion are described in terms of change in direction and position in a time interval (grade 8).
Forces Affecting Motion

Adding energy to a substance changes the motion of the molecules composing the substance. So too it takes energy to change the motion of macroscopic objects. The energy change is understood in terms of forces. It takes energy for a baseball pitcher to set the ball in motion toward the batter. Pushes and pulls applied to objects often result in changes in motion (grade 4). Principles germane to the relationship of forces and motion serve to motivate the search for forces when objects change their motion or when an object remains at rest when it seems that the forces acting on it should result in setting it in motion (grade 8).

Some forces act through physical contact of objects while others act at a distance. The force of a bat on a ball and the downward push of a lead block on a tabletop are contact forces. Gravitational and magnetic forces act at a distance (grade 8). Magnets do not need to be in contact to attract or repel each other. The Earth and an airplane do not need to be in contact for a force of attraction to exist between them. Qualitative relationships (grade 8) and quantitative relationships (grade 12) between the mass of an object, the magnitude and direction of the net force on the object, and its acceleration are powerful ideas to explain and predict changes in the natural world.
### Table 5. Physical Science Content Statements for Grades 4, 8, and 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matter</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Properties of Matter</strong>: From physical properties common to all objects and substances and physical properties common to solids, liquids and gases (4) to chemical properties, particulate nature of matter, and the Periodic Table of Elements (8) to characteristics of sub-atomic particles and atomic structure (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects and substances have properties. Weight [mass] and volume are properties that can be measured using appropriate tools. (P4.1)</td>
<td>Properties of solids, liquids, and gases are explained by a model of matter that is composed of tiny particles in motion. (P8.1)</td>
<td>Differences in the physical properties of solids, liquids, and gases are explained by the ways in which the molecules of the substances are arranged and the strength of the forces of attraction between the molecules. (P12.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects vary in the extent in which they absorb and reflect light and conduct heat and electricity. (P4.2)</td>
<td>Chemical properties of substances are explained by the structure of atoms and molecules. (P8.2)</td>
<td>Electrons, protons, and neutrons are parts of the atom and have measurable properties including mass and, in the case of protons and electrons, charge. The nuclei of atoms are composed of protons and neutrons. Forces that hold all the particles in the nucleus together are stronger than the electrical forces between the positively charged protons. (P12.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matter exists in three states—solid, liquid, and gas. Each state of matter has unique properties. For instance, solids and liquids are not easily compressed; the shape of a solid is independent of its container; liquids and gases take the shape of their containers. (P4.3)</td>
<td>All substances are composed of one or more of approximately one hundred elements. The Periodic Table organizes the elements into families of elements with similar properties. (P8.3)</td>
<td>In the Periodic Table, elements are arranged according to the number of protons (called the atomic number). This organization illustrates commonality and patterns of physical and chemical properties among the elements. (P12.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some objects are composed of a single substance; others are composed of more than one substance. (P4.4)</td>
<td>Elements are a class of substances composed of a single kind of atom. Compounds are a class of substances made up of molecules composed of two or more atoms of two or more different elements. Each element and compound has physical and chemical properties, such as boiling point, density, color, and conductivity, which are independent of the amount of the sample. (P8.4)</td>
<td>In a neutral atom, the positively charged nucleus is surrounded by negatively charged electrons. Atoms of an element whose nuclei have different numbers of neutrons are called isotopes. (P12.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certain substances are attracted by magnets. (P4.5)</td>
<td>Substances are classified according to their physical and chemical properties. Metals and acids are examples of such classes. Metals are a class of elements that exhibit common physical properties such as conductivity and common chemical properties such as interacting with non-metals to produce salts. Acids are a class of compounds that exhibit common chemical properties including a sour taste, characteristic color changes with litmus and other acid/base indicators, and the tendency to react with bases to produce a salt and water. (P8.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

While this content statement generally holds, there are some compounds that decompose before boiling.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Grade 4</strong></th>
<th><strong>Grade 8</strong></th>
<th><strong>Grade 12</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changes in Matter:</strong> From changes of state (4) to physical and chemical changes and conservation of mass (8) to particulate nature of matter, unique physical characteristics of water, and changes at the atomic and molecular level during chemical changes (12).</td>
<td>Changes of state are explained by a model of matter composed of tiny particles that are in motion. When substances undergo changes of state, neither atoms nor molecules themselves are changed in structure. Mass is conserved when substances undergo changes of state. (P8.6) Chemical changes occur when two substances, elements, or compounds interact and produce one or more different substances, whose physical and chemical properties are different from the interacting substances. When substances undergo chemical change, the number of atoms in the reactants is the same as the number of atoms in the products. Mass is conserved when substances undergo chemical change. The mass of the interacting substances (reactants) is the same as the mass of the substances produced (products). (P8.7)</td>
<td>Changes of state require a transfer of energy. Water has unusually high-energy changes associated with its changes of state. An atom's electron configuration, particularly of the outermost electrons, determines how the atom can interact with other atoms. The forces that hold the atoms in molecules together are called chemical bonds. (P12.6) A large number of important reactions involve the transfer of either electrons (oxidation/reduction reactions) or hydrogen ions (acid/base reactions) between reacting ions, molecules, or atoms. In other chemical reactions, atoms interact with one another by sharing electrons to create a bond. An important example is carbon atoms, which can bond to one another in chains, rings, and branching networks to form a variety of structures, including synthetic polymers, oils, and the large molecules essential to life. (P12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matter is changed from one state to another and back again by heating and cooling. (P4.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Please see textbox on p. 31 for more on the unique properties of water.
### Energy

#### Forms of Energy: From examples of forms of energy (4) to kinetic energy, potential energy, and light energy from the sun (8) to nuclear energy and waves (12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heat [thermal energy], electricity, light, and sound are forms of energy. (P4.7)</td>
<td>Objects and substances in motion have kinetic energy. For example, a moving baseball can break a window; water flowing down a stream moves pebbles and floating objects along with it. (P8.8)</td>
<td>Molecules that compose matter are in constant motion (translational, rotational, and vibrational). (P12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat [thermal energy] results when substances burn, when certain kinds of materials rub against each other, and when electricity flows through wires. Metals are good conductors of heat and electricity. Increasing the temperature of any substance requires the addition of energy. (P4.8)</td>
<td>The position of materials can provide potential energy. Objects can have gravitational potential energy due to their elevation with respect to the Earth; elastic potential energy due to their compression; or chemical potential energy due to the arrangement of the atoms. (P8.9)</td>
<td>Energy may be transferred from one object to another during collisions. (P12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light travels in straight lines. When light strikes substances and objects through which it cannot pass, shadows result. When light travels obliquely from one substance to another (air and water), it changes direction. (P4.9)</td>
<td>Energy is transferred from place to place. Light energy from the sun travels through empty space to Earth (radiation). Thermal energy travels from a flame through the metal of a cooking pan to the water in the pan (conduction). Air warmed by a fireplace moves around a room (convection). Waves, including sound and seismic waves, waves on water, and light waves, have energy and transfer energy when they interact with matter. (P8.10)</td>
<td>Electromagnetic waves are produced by changing the motion of charges or by changing magnetic fields. The energy of electromagnetic waves is transferred to matter in packets. The energy content of the packets is directly proportional to the frequency of the electromagnetic waves. (P12.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vibrating objects produce sound. The pitch of sound can be varied by changing the rate of vibration. (P4.10)</td>
<td>A tiny fraction of the light energy from the sun reaches Earth. Light energy from the sun is Earth’s primary source of energy, heating Earth surfaces and providing the energy that results in wind, ocean currents, and storms. (P8.11)</td>
<td>Fission and fusion are reactions involving changes in the nuclei of atoms. Fission is the splitting of a large nucleus into smaller nuclei and particles. Fusion involves joining of two nuclei at extremely high temperature and pressure. Fusion is the process responsible for the energy of the sun and other stars. (P12.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy Conversions and Conservation:</strong> From electrical circuits (4) to energy conversions and conservation of energy (8) to translational, rotational, and vibrational energy of atoms and molecules, and chemical and nuclear reactions (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity flowing through closed circuits produces heat [thermal energy] and magnetic effects in the wires. Electricity flowing through a circuit containing a bulb or bell is converted into light (bulb) and heat [thermal energy] and sound (bell) and heat [thermal energy]. (P4.11)</td>
<td>Energy can be converted from one form into another. Kinetic energy can be converted into potential energy, and potential energy can be converted into kinetic energy. Thermal energy is often one of the forms of energy that results during energy conversion. When energy is converted from one form to another, the quantity of energy before the conversion equals the quantity of energy after conversion. (P8.12)</td>
<td>Heating increases the translational, rotational, and vibrational energy of the atoms composing elements and the molecules composing compounds. As the translational energy of the atoms or molecules increases, the temperature of the matter increases. Heating a sample of a crystalline solid increases the vibrational energy of the atoms or molecules. When the vibrational energy becomes great enough, the crystalline structure breaks down and the solid melts. (P12.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion of matter into light and thermal energy takes place in the sun. Plants convert light from the sun into chemical potential energy (photosynthesis). (P8.13)</td>
<td>The potential energy of an object on Earth’s surface is increased when the object’s position is changed from one closer to Earth’s surface to one further from Earth’s surface. (P12.13)</td>
<td>Chemical interactions either release energy to the environment (exothermic) or absorb energy from the environment (endothermic). (P12.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nuclear reactions, fission and fusion, convert matter into appreciable amounts of energy. (P12.15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Motion

### Motion at the Macroscopic and Molecular Levels:
From qualitative descriptions of position and motion (4) to speed as a quantitative description of motion and graphical representations of speed (8) to velocity and acceleration as quantitative descriptions of motion and the representation of linear velocity and acceleration in tables and graphs (12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An object’s position can be described</td>
<td>An object’s motion can be described</td>
<td>The motion of an object can be described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by locating the object relative to other</td>
<td>by its speed and the direction in which</td>
<td>by its position, and velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>objects or a background. The description of</td>
<td>it is moving. An object’s position can be</td>
<td>as functions of time, and by its average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an object’s motion from one observer’s view</td>
<td>be measured and graphed as a function of time.</td>
<td>speed and average acceleration during</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>may be different from that reported from a</td>
<td>An object’s speed can be measured and</td>
<td>intervals of time. (P12.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>different observer’s view. (P4.12)</td>
<td>graphed as a function of time. (P8.14)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An object’s motion is the change in its</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>position over time. The speed of an object</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>is the distance the object moves in a certain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time. (P4.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forces Affecting Motion:</strong> From the association of changes in motion with forces and the association of objects falling toward Earth with gravitational force (4) to qualitative descriptions of magnitude and direction as characteristics of forces, addition of forces, contact forces, forces that act at a distance, and net force on an object and its relationship to the object’s motion (8) to quantitative descriptions of universal gravitational and electric forces, and relationships among force, mass, and acceleration (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The motion of objects can be changed by pushing or pulling. The size of the change is related to the strength of the force (push or pull) and the weight (mass) of the object on which the force is exerted. (P4.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With a few exceptions (helium filled balloons), objects fall to the ground no matter where on Earth the object is. This motion is the result of the force of gravity. When objects do not fall, it is because there is an upward force acting on the object. (P4.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some forces between objects act when the objects are in direct contact or when they are not touching. Magnetic, electrical, and gravitational forces can act at a distance. (P8.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forces have magnitude and direction. Forces can be added. The net force on an object is the sum of all the forces acting on the object. A net force greater than zero on an object changes the object’s motion; that is, the object’s speed and/or direction of motion changes. A net force of zero on an object does not change the object’s motion; that is, the object remains at rest or continues to move at a constant speed in a straight line. (P8.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The motion of an object changes only when a net force is applied. (P12.17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The magnitude of acceleration of an object depends directly on the strength of the net force and inversely on the mass of the object. This relationship (a=Fnet/m) is independent of the nature of the force. (P12.18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whenever one object exerts force on another, a force equal in magnitude and opposite in direction is exerted by the second object back on the first object. (P12.19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravitation is a universal attractive force that each mass exerts on any other mass. The strength of the gravitational force between two masses is proportional to the masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them. (P12.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric force is a universal force that exists between any two charged objects. Opposite charges attract while like charges repel. The strength of the electric force is proportional to the magnitudes of the charges and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them. Between any two charged particles, the electric force is vastly greater than the gravitational force. (P12.21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Life Science

Life science principles are essential for understanding the functioning of living organisms and their interactions with their environment. In addition, life science principles are crucial for understanding advances in science and technology and appreciating their implications for social and personal decisions. Take, for instance, the following discoveries of the past twenty-five years, all of which rely on understanding basic ideas in life science: the publication of the human genome and genomes of other organisms, the ability to monitor the oxygen level of specific regions of the brain, and the depletion of the ozone layer by human activities. The media regularly present questions related to health and disease, such as what constitutes a healthy lifestyle and how to deal with the mutability of bacteria, viruses, and parasites that thwart efforts to develop antibiotics and vaccines. While science does not currently provide complete answers to questions like these, it provides the tools for understanding and addressing them.

Understanding principles in Life Science is inextricably linked with understanding principles in Physical Science and Earth and Space Science. “Living organisms are made of the same components as all other matter, involve the same kind of transformations of energy, and move using the same basic kinds of forces” (AAAS, 1990, p. 59).

Understanding living systems and their interactions with their environment requires not only understanding various levels of biological organization—molecules, cells, tissues/organs, organisms, populations, ecosystems—but also understanding interactions (including the transfer of information) within and across these levels and how they can change over time. For example, understanding how populations of organisms change over time is greatly facilitated by understanding the changes that occur in DNA molecules. These changes are manifest in an organism’s traits and may affect its ability to survive and reproduce, which can lead to changing proportions of traits in populations over time.

As summarized in Table 6, the Life Science content statements are sorted into broad topics that, collectively, address structure, function, and patterns of change in living systems. However, any attempt to organize Life Science by a linear set of topics, such as those listed below, is somewhat arbitrary. The overlap is evident in Table 7, Life Science Content Statements for grades 4, 8, and 12.
Table 6. Life Science Content Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structures and Functions of Living Systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organization and development of living systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matter and energy transformations in living systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interdependence of living systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Living Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heredity and reproduction of living systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evolution and diversity of living systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Structures and Functions of Living Systems**

This broad topic comprises the ways that living systems are organized and how living systems carry out their life functions. Reasoning about living systems often involves relating different levels of organization, from the molecule to the biosphere, and understanding how living systems are structured at each level. The functions of living systems at these levels, particularly how they transform matter and energy, are included, as are the interactions among living systems and how they depend on one another to carry out their functions.

**Organization and development of living systems**

As was pointed out early in the 20^{th} Century, “the key to every biological problem must finally be sought in the cell, for every living organism is, or at sometime has been, a cell.”\(^8\) All living things are made up of cells, whose work is carried out by many different types of molecules. Cellular and molecular biology has the power to explain a wide variety of phenomena related to the organization and development of living systems, such as synthesis and reproduction, the extraction of energy from food, and regulation. Living organisms have a variety of observable features that enable them to obtain food and reproduce (grade 4). The functions of living organisms are carried out at different levels of organization. In multicellular organisms, cells form organs and organ systems (grade 8). Organisms are subsystems of populations, communities, ecosystems, and the biosphere. Cellular processes are carried out by molecules, particularly proteins. These processes are regulated, both internally and externally, by the environments in which cells exist, including local environments that lead to cell differentiation during the development of multicellular organisms (grade 12).

---

\(^8\) This quotation from E.B. Wilson, 1925, was used by Bruce Alberts in his 1988 presentation at the annual meeting of the American Society of Zoologists.
Matter and energy transformations in living systems

Matter and energy transformations are involved in all life processes, such as photosynthesis, growth and repair, and cellular respiration, and the need of living systems for continual input of energy.

All single-celled and multicellular organisms have the same basic needs: water, air, a source of energy and materials for growth and repair, waste disposal, and conditions for growth and reproduction (grade 4). In terms of matter and energy transformations, the source of food is the distinguishing difference between plants and animals (see textbox below).

---

Clarification: “Food”

Both plants and animals require a source of energy and materials for growth and repair, and both plants and animals use high-energy compounds as a source of fuel and building material. The fact that plants have the capability to make (photosynthesize) these high-energy compounds containing carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen (carbohydrates) distinguishes plants from animals.

Plants are similar to animals in that they use the energy stored in carbohydrates, along with minerals from the soil and from fertilizers (known colloquially as “plant foods”), to synthesize other substances for their growth and reproduction (for instance, cellulose, pollen, dyes, chlorophyll, vitamins). Plants also synthesize substances (carbohydrates, fats, proteins, vitamins) that are components of foods eaten by animals.

So, while synthesis and breakdown are common to both plants and animals, photosynthesis (the conversion of light energy into stored chemical energy) is unique to plants, making them the primary source of energy for all animals.

Basic needs are connected with the processes of growth and metabolism. Organisms are made up of carbon-containing molecules; these molecules originate in molecules that plants assemble from carbon dioxide and water. In converting carbon-containing molecules back to water and carbon dioxide, organisms release energy, making some of it available to support life functions (grade 8). Matter and energy transformations in cells, organisms, and ecosystems have a chemical basis (grade 12). The following textbox on the flow of energy through an ecosystem illustrates principles that cut across the content areas.
Crosscutting Content: Uses, Transformations, and Conservation of Energy

The principles of energy uses, transformations, and conservation hold true across different types of systems. These systems include biological organisms, Earth systems, ecosystems (combining both life forms and their physical environment), the solar system, and other systems in the universe and human-designed systems.

From *Science for All Americans* (AAAS, 1990, p. 66):
“However complex the workings of living organisms, they share with all other natural systems the same physical principles of the conservation and transformation of matter and energy. Matter and energy are transformed among living things, and between them and the physical environment. In these grand-scale cycles, the total amount of matter and energy remains constant, even though their form and location undergo continual change.

Almost all life on earth is ultimately maintained by transformations of energy from the sun. Plants capture the sun’s energy and use it to synthesize complex, energy-rich molecules (chiefly sugars) from molecules of carbon dioxide and water. These synthesized molecules then serve, directly or indirectly, as the source of energy for all the plants themselves and ultimately for all animals and decomposer organisms (such as bacteria and fungi). This is the food web: The organisms that consume the plants derive energy and materials from breaking down the plant molecules, use them to synthesize their own structures, and then are themselves consumed by other organisms. At each stage in the food web, some energy is stored in newly synthesized structures and some is dissipated into the environment as heat produced by the energy-releasing chemical processes in cells. A similar energy cycle begins with the capture of the sun’s energy by minute marine organisms. Each successive stage in a food web captures only a small fraction of the energy content of organisms it feeds on.”

The flow of energy in an ecosystem (such as that described above) can be compared to the flow of energy illustrated earlier (see “Crosscutting Content: Energy Sources and Transformations” textbox on p. 33). They are both identical (the principle) and different (the context). In each case, energy is transformed from one form to another; and while some is no longer available for human use, it is not lost to the system.

Interdependence of living systems

The species interaction in an ecosystem, the dynamics of population growth and decline, the use of resources by multiple species, their impact on their environment, and the complex interactions among all of these have enormous consequences to the survival of all species, including humans.

All animals and most plants depend on both other organisms and their environments for their basic needs (grade 4). Organisms interact with one another in a variety of ways,

---

9 A possible addition or replacement would be a treatment of photosynthesis (Life Science) at grade 8 which would deal with the sun as the energy source and variation of sunlight with seasons (Earth and Space Science) and chemical changes and chemical potential energy (Physical Science). Suggestions for relevant assessment items would be provided in the *Specifications.*
such as producer/consumer, predator/prey, and parasite/host. In addition to competition among organisms, the size of populations depends on environmental conditions, such as the availability of water, light, and other suitable conditions (grade 8). Ecosystems are characterized by both stability and change, on which human populations can have an impact (grade 12).

**Changes in Living Systems**

This broad topic comprises how organisms reproduce, how they pass genetic information to their offspring, and how genetic information can change as it passes from one generation to the next. Over time, those changes can affect the size, diversity, and genetic composition of populations, i.e., the process of biological evolution.

**Heredity and reproduction of living systems**

Organisms closely resemble their parents; their slight variations can accumulate over many generations and result in more obvious differences between organisms and their ancestors. Recent advances in biochemistry and cell biology have increased understanding of the mechanisms of inheritance and enabled the detection of disease-related genes. Such knowledge is making it possible to design and produce large quantities of substances to treat disease and, in years to come, may lead to cures.

All plants and animals (and one-celled organisms) develop and have the capacity to reproduce (grade 4). Reproduction, whether sexually or asexually, is a requirement for the survival of species. Characteristics of organisms are influenced by heredity and environment (grade 8). Genetic differences among individuals and species are fundamentally chemical. Different organisms are made up of somewhat different proteins. Reproduction involves passing the DNA with instructions for making these proteins from one generation to the next, with occasional modifications (grade 12).

**Evolution and diversity of living systems**

Earth’s present-day life forms have evolved from common ancestors reaching back to the simplest one-celled organisms almost four billion years ago. Modern ideas about evolution provide a scientific explanation for three main sets of observable facts about life on Earth: the enormous number of different life forms that exist, the systematic similarities in anatomy and molecular chemistry seen within that diversity, and the sequences of changes in fossils found in successive layers of rock that have been formed over more than a billion years. The modern concept of evolution, including natural selection and common descent, provides a unifying principle for understanding the history of life on Earth, relationships among all living things, and the dependence of life on the physical environment. The concept is so well established that it provides a framework for organizing most of biological knowledge into a coherent picture.

All organisms are similar to and different from other organisms, and some kinds of organisms and individuals have advantages in particular environments (grade 4).
Preferential survival means that differences among individuals in a population affect their ability to survive and reproduce. Classification reflects degrees of relatedness among species (grade 8). Evolution is the consequence of natural selection and differential reproduction. Natural selection and common descent provide the scientific explanation for the history of life on Earth as depicted in the fossil record and as indicated by anatomical and chemical similarities evident within the diversity of existing organisms (grade 12).
Table 7. Life Science Content Statements for Grades 4, 8, and 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Living Systems</strong></td>
<td><strong>Organization and Development:</strong> From basic needs of organisms (4) to the levels of organization of living systems (8) to the chemical basis of living systems (12).</td>
<td><strong>All organisms are composed of cells, from just one to many cells. In multicellular organisms, specialized cells perform specialized functions. Organs and organ systems are composed of cells and function to serve the needs of cells for food, air, and waste removal. (L8.1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Living systems are made of complex molecules (including carbohydrates, fats, proteins, and nucleic acids) that consist mostly of a few elements, especially carbon, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, and phosphorous. (L12.1)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organisms need food, water, and air; a way to dispose of waste; and an environment in which they can live. (L4.1)</td>
<td>Following fertilization, cell division produces a small cluster of cells that then differentiate by appearance and function to form the basic tissues of an embryo. (L8.2)</td>
<td>Cellular processes are carried out by many different types of molecules, mostly proteins. Protein molecules are long, usually folded chains made from combinations of amino-acid molecules. Protein molecules assemble fats and carbohydrates and carry out other cellular functions. The function of each protein molecule depends on its specific sequence of amino acids and the shape of the molecule. (L12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>(L12.2)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(L12.3)</strong></td>
<td><strong>(L12.3)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

10 See p. 43 for a clarification textbox on “Food.”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Matter and Energy Transformations:</strong> From the basic needs of organisms for growth (4) to the role of carbon compounds in growth and metabolism (8) to the chemical basis of matter and energy transformation in living systems (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Organisms have basic needs. Animals require air, water, and a source of fuel and building material for growth and repair. Plants also require light. (L4.2)

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cells carry out the many functions needed to sustain life. They grow and divide, thereby producing more cells. Food is used to provide energy for the work that cells do and is a source of the molecular building blocks from which needed materials are assembled. (L8.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Plants capture energy by absorbing light and using it to form chemical bonds between the atoms of sugar molecules. These sugar molecules can be used to make amino acids and other carbon-containing (organic) molecules and assembled into larger molecules with biological activity (including proteins, DNA, carbohydrates, and fats). (L12.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Plants are producers—they use the energy from light\(^{11}\) to make sugar molecules from the atoms of carbon dioxide and water. Plants use these sugars, along with minerals from the soil, to form fats, proteins and carbohydrates. This food can be used immediately, incorporated into the plant’s cells as the plant grows, or stored for later use. (L8.4)

All animals, including humans, are consumers, which obtain food by eating other organisms or their products. Consumers break down the structures of the organisms they eat to make the materials they need to grow and function. Decomposers, including bacteria and fungi, use dead organisms or their products for food. (L8.5)

The chemical elements that make up the molecules of living things pass through food webs and are combined and recombined in different ways. At each link in an ecosystem, some energy is stored in newly made structures, but much is dissipated into the environment as heat. Continual input of energy from sunlight keeps the process going. (L12.5)

As matter and energy flow through different levels of organization of living systems—cells, organs, organisms, communities—and between living systems and the physical environment, chemical elements are recombined in different ways. Each recombination results in storage and dissipation of energy into the environment as heat. Matter and energy are conserved in each change. (L12.6)

\(^{11}\) The phrase “they use the energy from light” does not imply that energy is converted into matter or that energy is lost. See p. 44 for textbox on Crosscutting Content.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interdependence of Living Systems</strong>: From the interdependence of organisms (4) to specific types of interdependence (8) to consequences of interdependence (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisms interact and are interdependent in various ways including providing food and shelter to one another. Organisms can survive only in environments in which their needs are met. Some interactions are beneficial; others are detrimental to the organism and other organisms. (L4.3)</td>
<td>Two types of organisms may interact with one another in several ways: They may be in a producer/consumer, predator/prey, or parasite/host relationship. Or one organism may scavenge or decompose another. Relationships may be competitive or mutually beneficial. Some species have become so adapted to each other that neither could survive without the other. (L8.6)</td>
<td>Although the interrelationships and interdependence of organisms may generate biological communities in ecosystems that are stable for hundreds or thousands of years, ecosystems always change when climate changes or when one or more new species appear as a result of migration or local evolution. The impact of the human species has major consequences for other species. (L12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When the environment changes, some plants and animals survive and reproduce; and others die or move to new locations. (L4.4)</td>
<td>The number of organisms and populations an ecosystem can support depends on the biotic resources available and abiotic factors, such as quantity of light and water, range of temperatures, and soil composition. (L8.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All organisms cause changes in the environment where they live. Some of these changes are detrimental to the organisms or other organisms, whereas others are beneficial. (L8.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Changes in Living Systems</strong></td>
<td><strong>Heredity and Reproduction:</strong> From life cycles (4) to reproduction and the influence of heredity and the environment on an offspring’s characteristics (8) to the molecular basis of heredity (12).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants and animals have life cycles. Both plants and animals begin life and develop into adults, reproduce, and eventually die. The details of this life cycle are different for different organisms. (L4.5)</td>
<td>Reproduction is a characteristic of all living systems; because no individual organism lives forever, reproduction is essential to the continuation of every species. Some organisms reproduce asexually. Other organisms reproduce sexually. (L8.9)</td>
<td>Hereditary information is contained in genes, located in the chromosomes of each cell. Each gene carries a single unit of information. One or many genes can determine an inherited trait of an individual, and a single gene can influence more than one trait. A human cell contains many thousands of different genes. (L12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants and animals closely resemble their parents. (L4.6)</td>
<td>The characteristics of organisms are influenced by heredity and environment. For some characteristics inheritance is more important; and for other characteristics, interactions with the environment are more important. (L8.10)</td>
<td>The genetic information encoded in DNA molecules provides instructions for assembling protein molecules. Genes are segments of DNA molecules. Inserting, deleting, or substituting DNA segments can alter genes. An altered gene may be passed on to every cell that develops from it. The resulting features may help, harm, or have little or no effect on the offspring’s success in its environment. (L12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sorting and recombination of genes in sexual reproduction results in a great variety of possible gene combinations from the offspring of any two parents. (L12.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Evolution and Diversity:</strong> From differences and adaptations of organisms (4) to preferential survival and relatedness of organisms (8) to the mechanisms of evolutionary change and the history of life on Earth (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different kinds of organisms have characteristics that enable them to survive in different environments. Individuals of the same kind differ in their characteristics, and sometimes the differences give individuals an advantage in surviving and reproducing.  (L4.7)

Individual organisms with certain traits in particular environments are more likely than others to survive and have offspring. When an environment changes, the advantage or disadvantage of characteristics can change. Extinction of a species occurs when the environment changes and the characteristics of a species are insufficient to allow survival. Fossils indicate that many organisms that lived long ago are extinct. Extinction of species is common; most of the species that have lived on the Earth no longer exist.  (L8.11)

Similarities among organisms are found in anatomical features, which can be used to infer the degree of relatedness among organisms. In classifying organisms, biologists consider details of internal and external structures to be more important than behavior or general appearance.  (L8.12)

Modern ideas about evolution (including natural selection and common descent) provide a scientific explanation for the history of life on Earth as depicted in the fossil record and in the similarities evident within the diversity of existing organisms.  (L12.11)

Molecular evidence substantiates the anatomical evidence for evolution and provides additional detail about the sequence in which various lines of descent branched.  (L12.12)

Evolution is the consequence of the interactions of (1) the potential for a species to increase its numbers, (2) the genetic variability of offspring due to mutation and recombination of genes, (3) a finite supply of the resources required for life, and (4) the ensuing selection from environmental pressure of those organisms better able to survive and leave offspring.  (L12.13)
Earth and Space Science

The past few decades have brought rapid changes in the character of Earth and Space Science. The study of our planet has shifted from surface geology and mining toward global change and Earth systems; and research methods have changed from human observations and mapping to remote sensing and computer modeling. This concept of Earth\footnote{“Earth” is capitalized, rather than referred to as “the earth,” in order to recognize its status among the other planets of the solar system.} as a complex and dynamic entity of interrelated subsystems implies that there is no process or phenomenon within the Earth system that occurs in complete isolation from other elements of the system. There has also been a shift in goals, as advances in theory have made it possible to more accurately predict changes in weather and climate, to provide life-saving warnings of floods, hurricanes, earthquakes, and volcanic eruptions, and to understand how human activities influence ecosystem and climate changes across the globe.

In Space Science, similar changes have taken place as a result of new technologies. Successful probes to Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn have vastly expanded knowledge of the solar neighborhood. The discovery of more than 100 planets outside the solar system has raised new questions about the origin of life. Furthermore, advances in ground and space-based telescopes capable of observing many different parts of the electromagnetic spectrum with unprecedented detail have revolutionized understanding of the structure and evolution of the universe itself. In brief, descriptive methods of Earth and Space Science have given way to theory-based inquiry and problem-solving approaches that have far reaching consequences with regard to understanding the universe and stewardship of Planet Earth.

Changes in Earth and Space Science education are beginning to catch up with advances in research. The \textit{National Standards} emphasizes a systems approach to studying Earth, especially at the high school level. Many of today’s textbooks devote less attention to historical explanations that reveal the “story in the rocks” and provide more attention to an Earth systems perspective, in which our planet is viewed as a synergistic physical system of interrelated phenomena, processes, and cycles. Some high school curricula have integrated the traditional Earth science disciplines of geology, meteorology and oceanography with aspects of biology, chemistry, and physics to introduce students to a more holistic study of Earth.

The tools available to students for learning about Earth and space have changed as well. Visualization tools such as Geographical Information System (GIS) software has made it possible for Earth Science students to have direct access to the raw data and models used by scientists. Other web-based programs allow students to view and process satellite images of Earth, to direct a camera on board the Space Shuttle, and to access professional telescopes around the world to carry out science projects. In other words, the core concepts, subject matter, and tools used by students have undergone profound changes in recent decades that mirror many of the advances in Earth and Space Science.
NAEP recognizes that not all of these resources are available to all students. Nevertheless, to reflect the importance of this content area, NAEP will include questions about Earth and Space Science at the 4th, 8th, and 12th grade levels. The content statements have been divided into topics as summarized in Table 8.

### Table 8. Earth and Space Science Content Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earth in Space and Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objects in the Universe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Earth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth Structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Properties of Earth Materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tectonics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy in Earth Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate and Weather</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogeochemical Cycles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Earth in Space and Time

Earth in Space and Time is divided into two sub-topics: Objects in the Universe and History of Earth. The idea that the universe is large and ancient on scales staggering to the human mind (AAAS, 1990, p. 40) connects these topics.

One of the earliest discoveries of the scientific age is that Earth is not the center of the universe. It is now known that Earth is a planet in space, one of a family of planets and other bodies that circle a yellow star in a vast galaxy of other stars. Like countless other worlds that are known to exist, Earth has a beginning and a history. That history can be read by carefully and thoughtfully observing the world and the universe.

**Objects in the Universe**

Objects in the sky, such as the Sun and Moon, have patterns of movement. These patterns can be observed through changes in shape or placement in the sky based on time of day or season (grade 4). By recognizing these patterns, people have developed calendars and clocks and explained such phenomena as moon phases, eclipses, and seasons (grade 8).

It was previously thought that Earth was the center of the universe, but it is now known that the Sun is the central and largest body in the solar system, which includes Earth and other planets and their moons as well as other objects such as asteroids and comets. Objects in the solar system are kept in predictable motion by the force of gravity (grade 8).
According to the big bang theory, the entire contents of the known universe expanded explosively into existence from a hot, dense state 13.7 billion years ago. Early in the history of our universe, stars coalesced out of clouds of hydrogen and helium and clumped together by gravitational attraction into billions of galaxies. When heated to a sufficiently high temperature by gravitational attraction, stars begin nuclear reactions, which convert matter to energy and fuse light elements into heavier ones (grade 12).

**History of Earth**

Theories of planet formation and radioactive dating of meteorites have led to the conclusion that the Sun, Earth and the rest of the solar system formed from a nebular cloud of dust and gas 4.6 billion years ago. Early Earth was very different from today’s planet. Early Earth’s atmosphere did not contain oxygen; but evidence from one-celled forms of life—the bacteria—extends back more than 3.5 billion years. The presence of plant life has altered the Earth’s atmosphere and is responsible for the oxygen in the air today (grade 12).

Earth’s surface changes over time. Some changes are due to slow processes, such as the erosion of a riverbank; and others are due to rapid processes such as volcanic eruptions, landslides, and earthquakes (grade 4). Changes such as earthquakes and volcanic eruptions can be observed on a human timescale; but many geological processes, such as mountain building and plate movement, take place over hundreds of millions of years. Waves, wind, water, and ice sculpt Earth’s surface to produce distinctive landforms (grade 12).

Earth processes seen today, such as erosion and mountain building, have made possible the measurement of geologic time though methods such as observing rock sequences and using fossils to correlate the sequences at various locations. Fossils also provide evidence of how life and environmental conditions have changed (grade 8). Early methods of determining geological time, such as the use of index fossils and stratigraphic sequences allowed for the relative dating of geologic events. However, absolute dating was impossible until the discovery that certain radioactive isotopes in rocks have known decay rates, making it possible to determine how many years ago a given rock sample formed (grade 12).

**Earth Structures**

Content statements related to Earth Structures fall into two sub-topics: Properties of Earth Materials and Tectonics. The study of Earth materials has contributed to understanding dynamic processes, which are, in turn, driven by the movement of vast tectonic plates. Conversely, the development of tectonic theory has made it possible to locate and extract Earth materials for a wide variety of human uses.
Properties of Earth Materials

Earth materials that occur in nature include rocks, minerals, soils, water, and the gases of the atmosphere. Natural materials have different properties, which sustain plant and animal life (grade 4). Soil consists of weathered rocks and decomposed organic material from dead plants, animals, and bacteria. Soils are often found in layers, with each having a different chemical composition and texture (grade 8). Some Earth materials have properties that make them useful either in their present form or designed and modified to solve human problems and enhance the quality of life (grade 4).

Rocks and rock formations bear evidence of the conditions and forces that created them, ranging from the violent conditions of volcanic eruptions to the slow deposition of sediments. The atmosphere is a mixture of nitrogen, oxygen, and trace gases that include water vapor. The atmosphere has different physical and chemical properties at different elevations (grade 8).

Tectonics

A basic understanding of geological history, described above, forms the foundation for later understanding of tectonics (grade 4). Earth’s internal structure is layered with a lithosphere; hot convecting mantle; and dense, metallic core. Lithospheric plates, on the scale of continents and oceans, constantly move at rates of centimeters per year, in response to movements in the mantle. Major geological events, such as earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, and mountain building result from these plate motions (grade 8).

Although tectonic theory was proposed in the early 1900s, and supporting evidence gradually accumulated, it was not widely accepted until a satisfactory physical explanation was proposed. The current explanation is that the outward transfer of Earth’s internal heat drives convection circulation in the mantle that propels the plates comprising Earth’s surface across the face of the globe (grade 12).

Earth as a whole has a magnetic field that is detectable at the surface with a compass. Earth’s magnetic field is similar to the field of a natural or human-made magnet with north and south poles and lines of force. For thousands of years, people have used compasses to aid navigation on land and sea (grade 8). Crucial evidence in support of tectonic theory came from studies of the magnetic properties of rocks on the ocean floor (grade 12).

Earth Systems

Earth Systems science is organized according to three sub-topics: Energy in Earth Systems, Climate and Weather, and Biogeochemical Cycles. The explorers of the 16th Century who circumnavigated the planet were the first to become aware of global weather and climate patterns. As science began to mature and diversify in the 19th and 20th Centuries, those who scientifically studied the planet did so from the perspective of the traditional disciplines, such as geology, oceanography, and meteorology. Currently,
working with vastly improved technologies, most scientists take an Earth systems perspective, including the study of how energy moves through Earth systems, and the integration of disciplines to better understand Earth’s biogeochemical cycles.

Energy in Earth Systems

The Sun warms the land, air, and water and helps plants grow (grade 4). The Sun is the major source of energy for phenomena on Earth’s surface. The Sun drives convection within the atmosphere and oceans, producing winds, ocean currents, and the water cycle. Seasons result from annual variations in the intensity of sunlight and length of day due to the tilt of Earth’s rotation axis relative to the plane of its yearly orbit around the Sun (grade 8).

Earth’s systems have internal and external sources of energy, both of which create heat. The Sun is the major external source of energy. Two primary sources of internal energy are the decay of radioactive isotopes and the gravitational/thermal energy from Earth’s original formation (grade 12).

Climate and Weather

Weather changes from day to day and over the seasons. Scientists use tools for recording and predicting weather changes (grade 4). Global patterns of atmospheric movement influence local weather (grade 8).

Climate is determined by energy transfer from the sun at and near Earth’s surface. This energy transfer is influenced by dynamic processes, such as cloud cover, atmospheric gases, and Earth’s rotation, as well as static conditions, such as the position of mountain ranges and oceans, seas, and lakes (grade 12). Oceans have a major effect on climate because water in the oceans holds a large amount of heat (grade 8).

Biogeochemical Cycles

Earth is a system containing essentially a fixed amount of each stable chemical atom or element. Each element can exist in several different chemical forms. Elements move within and between the lithosphere, atmosphere, hydrosphere, and biosphere as part of biogeochemical cycles (see textbox that follows). Movement of matter through Earth’s systems is driven by Earth's internal and external sources of energy. These movements are often accompanied by a change in the physical and chemical properties of the matter. Carbon, for example, occurs in carbonate rocks such as limestone, in coal and other fossil fuels, in the atmosphere as carbon dioxide gas, in water as dissolved carbon dioxide, and in all organisms as complex molecules that control the chemistry of life (grade 12).

Water, which covers the majority of Earth’s surface, circulates through the crust, oceans, and atmosphere in what is known as the "water cycle." Water evaporates from Earth's surface, rises and cools as it moves to higher elevations, condenses as clouds, falls as rain or snow, and collects in lakes, oceans, soil, and underground (grade 8).
Natural ecosystems provide an array of basic processes that affect humans. Those processes include maintenance of the quality of the atmosphere, generation of soils, control of the hydrologic cycle, disposal of wastes, and recycling of nutrients (grade 12). The supply of many Earth resources, such as fuels, metals, fresh water, and farmland is limited.

Humans change environments in ways that can either be beneficial or detrimental for themselves and other organisms (grade 4). Humans have devised methods for extending the use of Earth resources through recycling, reuse, and renewal (grade 4). However, other activities, such as reducing the amount of forest cover, increasing the amount and variety of chemicals released into the atmosphere, and intensive farming, have changed Earth’s land, oceans, and atmosphere. Studies of plant and animal populations have shown that such activities can reduce the number and variety of wild plants and animals and sometimes result in the extinction of species (grade 8).

**Crosscutting Content: Biogeochemical Cycles**

To demonstrate an understanding of biogeochemical cycles, students must draw on their knowledge of Matter and Energy (Physical Science), Structures and Functions of Living Systems (Life Science) and Earth Systems (Earth and Space Science).

Essentially fixed amounts of chemical atoms or elements cycle within the Earth system, and energy drives their translocation and transformation. Examples of biogeochemical cycles include water, carbon, and nitrogen. The basic processes underlying the translocation of matter (e.g., changes of state, gravity) and transformations involving the rearrangement of atoms in chemical reactions are described in Physical Science (pp. 36-37) and the role of living organisms in cycling atoms between inorganic and organic forms is described in Life Science (p. 48).

Biogeochemical cycles are described more fully in the “Earth Systems” section of Table 9, Earth and Space Science Content Statements for Grades 4, 8, and 12 (p. 63).
Table 9. Earth and Space Science Content Statements for Grades 4, 8, and 12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth in Space and Time</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objects in the Universe:</strong> From patterns in the sky (4) to a model of the solar system (8) to a vision of the universe (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects in the sky have patterns of movement. The sun, for example, appears to move across the sky in the same way every day, but its path changes slowly over the seasons. The moon appears to move across the sky on a daily basis much like the sun. (E4.1)</td>
<td>In contrast to an earlier theory that Earth is the center of the universe, it is now known that the sun, an average star, is the central and largest body in the solar system. Earth is the third planet from the sun in a system that includes eight other planets and their moons, as well as smaller objects, such as asteroids and comets. (E8.1)</td>
<td>The origin of the universe remains one of the greatest questions in science. The &quot;big bang&quot; theory places the origin approximately 13.7 billion years ago when the universe began in a hot dense state; according to this theory, the universe has been expanding ever since. (E12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The observable shape of the moon changes from day to day in a cycle that lasts about a month. (E4.2)</td>
<td>Gravity is the force that keeps most objects in the solar system in regular and predictable motion. Those motions explain such phenomena as the day, the year, phases of the moon, and eclipses. (E8.2)</td>
<td>Early in the history of the universe, matter, primarily the light atoms hydrogen and helium, clumped together by gravitational attraction to form countless trillions of stars and billions of galaxies. (E12.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stars like our sun transform matter into energy in nuclear reactions. When hydrogen nuclei fuse to form helium, a small amount of matter is converted to energy. These and other processes in stars have led to the formation of all the other elements. (E12.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### History of Earth: From evidence of change (4) to estimating the timing and sequence of geologic events (8) to theories about Earth's history (12).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The surface of Earth changes. Some changes are due to slow processes, such as erosion and weathering, and some changes are due to rapid processes, such as landslides, volcanic eruptions, and earthquakes. (E4.3)</td>
<td>Fossils provide important evidence of how life and environmental conditions have changed. (E8.3) Earth processes seen today, such as erosion and mountain building, made possible the measurement of geologic time through methods such as observing rock sequences and using fossils to correlate the sequences at various locations. (E8.4)</td>
<td>Early methods of determining geologic time, such as the use of index fossils and stratigraphic sequences, allowed for the relative dating of geological events. However, absolute dating was impossible until the discovery that certain radioactive isotopes in rocks have known decay rates, making it possible to determine how many years ago a given rock sample formed. (E12.4) Theories of planet formation and radioactive dating of meteorites have led to the conclusion that the sun, Earth, and the rest of the solar system formed from a nebular cloud of dust and gas 4.6 billion years ago. (E12.5) Early Earth was very different from today's planet. Evidence for one-celled forms of life—the bacteria—extends back more than 3.5 billion years. The evolution of life caused dramatic changes in the composition of Earth's atmosphere, which did not originally contain oxygen. (E12.6) Earth's current structure has been influenced by both sporadic and gradual events. Some changes such as earthquakes and volcanic eruptions can be observed on a human time scale, but many geological processes such as mountain building and plate movements take place over hundreds of millions of years. (E12.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Earth Structures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Properties of Earth Materials:</strong> From natural and human-made materials (4) to soil analysis and layers of the atmosphere (8)</td>
<td>Rock and rock formations bear evidence of the minerals, materials, temperature/pressure conditions, and forces that created it. Some formations show evidence that they were deposited by volcanic eruptions. Others are composed of sand and smaller particles buried and cemented by dissolved minerals to form solid rock again. Still others show evidence that they were once sedimentary rocks that were exposed to pressure and heat, which caused them to recrystallize into different kinds of rock. (E8.5)</td>
<td>Soil consists of weathered rocks and decomposed organic material from dead plants, animals, and bacteria. Soils are often found in layers, with each having a different chemical composition and texture. (E8.6) The atmosphere is a mixture of nitrogen, oxygen, and trace gases that include water vapor. The atmosphere has different physical and chemical properties at different elevations. (E8.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earth materials that occur in nature include rocks, minerals, soils, water, and the gases of the atmosphere. (E4.4)

Natural materials have different properties, which sustain plant and animal life. (E4.5)

Some Earth materials have properties that make them useful either in their present form or designed and modified to solve human problems and enhance the quality of life, as in the case of materials used for building or fuels used for heating and transportation. (E4.6)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tectonics:</strong> From the basics of tectonic theory and Earth magnetism (8) to the physical mechanism that drives tectonics and its supporting evidence (12)</td>
<td>The Earth is layered with a lithosphere; hot, convecting mantle; and dense, metallic core. (E8.8)</td>
<td>Although tectonic theory was proposed in the early 1900s, and supporting evidence gradually accumulated, it was not widely accepted until a satisfactory physical explanation was proposed. The current explanation is that the outward transfer of Earth’s internal heat drives convection circulation in the mantle that propels the plates comprising Earth’s surface across the face of the globe. Crucial evidence in support of tectonic theory came from studies of the magnetic properties of rocks on the ocean floor. (E12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithospheric plates on the scale of continents and oceans constantly move at rates of centimeters per year in response to movements in the mantle. Major geological events, such as earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, and mountain building, result from these plate motions. (E8.9)</td>
<td>Earth as a whole has a magnetic field that is detectable at the surface with a compass. Earth’s magnetic field is similar to the field of a natural or human-made magnet with north and south poles and lines of force. For thousands of years, people have used compasses to aid in navigation on land and sea. (E8.10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth Systems</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy in Earth Systems:</strong> From role of the sun (4) to the sun’s observable effects (8) to internal and external sources of energy in Earth systems (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sun warms the land, air, and water and helps plants grow. (E4.7)</td>
<td>The sun is the major source of energy for phenomena on Earth's surface. The sun provides energy for plants to grow and drives convection within the atmosphere and oceans, producing winds, ocean currents, and the water cycle. (E8.11)</td>
<td>Earth systems have internal and external sources of energy, both of which create heat. The sun is the major external source of energy. Two primary sources of internal energy are the decay of radioactive isotopes and the gravitational energy from Earth's original formation. (E12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seasons result from annual variations in the intensity of sunlight and length of day, due to the tilt of Earth's rotation axis relative to the plane of its yearly orbit around the sun. (E8.12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Climate and Weather:</strong> From local weather (4) to global weather patterns (8) to systems that influence climate (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather changes from day to day and over the seasons. (E4.8)</td>
<td>Global patterns of atmospheric movement influence local weather. Oceans have a major effect on climate because water in the oceans holds a large amount of heat. (E8.13)</td>
<td>Climate is determined by energy transfer from the sun at and near Earth's surface. This energy transfer is influenced by dynamic processes such as cloud cover, atmospheric gases, and Earth's rotation, as well as static conditions such as the positions of mountain ranges and of oceans, seas, and lakes. (E12.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientists use tools for observing, recording, and predicting weather changes from day to day and over the seasons. (E4.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>Grade 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biogeochemical Cycles:</strong> From uses of Earth resources (4) to natural and human-induced changes in Earth materials and systems (8) to biogeochemical cycles in Earth systems (12).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The supply of many Earth resources, such as fuels, metals, fresh water, and farmland is limited. Humans have devised methods for extending the use of Earth resources through recycling, reuse, and renewal. (E4.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humans depend on their natural and constructed environment. Humans change environments in ways that can either be beneficial or detrimental for themselves and other organisms. (E4.11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water, which covers the majority of Earth's surface, circulates through the crust, oceans, and atmosphere in what is known as the &quot;water cycle.&quot; Water evaporates from Earth's surface, rises and cools as it moves to higher elevations, condenses as clouds, falls as rain or snow, and collects in lakes, oceans, soil, and underground. (E8.14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human activities, such as reducing the amount of forest cover, increasing the amount and variety of chemicals released into the atmosphere, and intensive farming, have changed Earth’s land, oceans, and atmosphere. Studies of plant and animal populations have shown that such activities can reduce the number and variety of wild plants and animals and sometimes result in the extinction of species. (E8.15)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth is a system containing essentially a fixed amount of each stable chemical atom or element. Most elements can exist in several different chemical forms. Earth elements move within and between the lithosphere, atmosphere, hydrosphere, and biosphere as part of biogeochemical cycles. (E12.11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement of matter through Earth’s systems is driven by Earth's internal and external sources of energy. These movements are often accompanied by a change in the physical and chemical properties of the matter. Carbon, for example, occurs in carbonate rocks such as limestone, in coal and other fossil fuels, in the atmosphere as carbon dioxide gas, in water as dissolved carbon dioxide, and in all organisms as complex molecules that control the chemistry of life. (E12.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural ecosystems provide an array of basic processes that affect humans. Those processes include maintenance of the quality of the atmosphere, generation of soils, control of the hydrologic cycle, disposal of wastes, and recycling of nutrients. (E12.13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Components of Science Content as Assessment Item Contexts

Science-literate citizens should be familiar with certain components of science content, such as the history and nature of science, and the relationship between science and technology. These features are highly valued by science educators and viewed as critical to the teaching and learning of science (AAAS, 1993; NRC, 1996). In Chapter Three, the nature of science is partially addressed through a discussion of scientific inquiry. Similarly, the relationship between science and technology is partially addressed in Chapter Three through a discussion of technological design. In addition, these components of science content will be incorporated into the contexts of assessment items; they will not be directly assessed because of time and resource constraints. Further details, including clarifying examples, can be found both in Chapter Four and in the Specifications.

From Science Content to Science Practices

This chapter has presented the science content statements that define the NAEP Science Assessment content domain. Written as propositions reflecting science principles, these content statements do not describe students’ performances in observable terms. The next chapter will show how these science content statements can be combined (“crossed”) with science practices to generate performance expectations, i.e., descriptions of students’ expected and observable performances on the NAEP Science Assessment. Based on these performance expectations, assessment items can be developed, and then finally, inferences about what students know and can do in science can be made from student responses. Chapter Three will provide an illustrative example of this process.
CHAPTER THREE: SCIENCE PRACTICES

Introduction

Chapter Two presented content statements that define the key science principles (including facts, concepts, laws, and theories) to be assessed by NAEP in 2009. However, NAEP will assess not only science content statements, but also the ways in which knowledge is used. This chapter defines what students should be able to do with the science content statements by articulating key science practices—Identifying Science Principles, Using Science Principles, Conducting Scientific Inquiry, and Employing Technological Design—to be assessed by NAEP. These practices are useful for generating science-rich assessment items. 13

To assist assessment developers, the science practices can be associated with the “cognitive demands” that they place on students. This chapter employs a set of four cognitive demands—“knowing that,” “knowing how,” “knowing why,” and “knowing when and where to apply knowledge.” These cognitive demands help ensure that NAEP assessment items are developed so as to elicit the kinds of knowledge and thinking that underlie the Framework’s performance expectations (see below); they also provide a tool for interpreting students’ responses on the assessment items.

This chapter shows how science content statements can be combined or “crossed” with practices to generate performance expectations, which then guide the development of assessment items. By comparing student responses to the particular science content and practice being assessed, inferences about what students know (about particular science principles) and can do (with respect to particular science practices) are made.

Two types of textboxes are used throughout this chapter. Clarification textboxes provide details on potentially confusing topics, such as the distinction between mass and weight. Illustrative Item textboxes provide assessment items that exemplify recommendations discussed in the text. Answers to selected-response items are indicated within the textbox, and scoring guides for constructed-response items are provided in Appendix D.

Overview of Practices

Over the course of human history, people have developed many interconnected and validated ideas about the physical and biological world. These ideas have enabled successive generations to achieve an increasingly comprehensive and reliable understanding of the natural world. Scientific ideas are generated and verified by observing natural phenomena, finding patterns in these observations, and constructing theoretical models to explain these patterns. These patterns and models can in turn be used to describe, measure, classify, explain, and predict other observations. 14

13 In reviewing the science practices developed by the Planning Committee, the Steering Committee made the following recommendation: if possible, NAEP should report scores on the practices dimension.
knowledge is used to reason about the natural world and to improve the quality of scientific thought and action. Hence, NAEP will assess how well 4\textsuperscript{th}, 8\textsuperscript{th}, and 12\textsuperscript{th} grade students can engage in the following broadly organized science practices:

- Identifying Science Principles
- Using Science Principles
- Conducting Scientific Inquiry
- Employing Technological Design

Because these practices are closely related, these categories are not distinct; and some overlap is expected.

The ability to communicate accurately and effectively is essential in science, and this expectation is a strand that runs across the practices. Accurate and effective communication may include (but is not limited to) writing clear instructions that others can follow to carry out an investigation; reading and organizing data in tables and graphs; locating information in computer databases; using language and scientific terms appropriately; drawing pictures or schematics to aid in descriptions of observations; summarizing the results of scientific investigations; and reporting to various audiences about facts, explanations, investigations and designs (AAAS, 1993; NRC, 1996).

**Sources for the Development of Practices**

The Framework developers examined a number of sources to develop the short list of practices to be assessed in the NAEP Science Assessment. The most important were the “Science as Inquiry” sections of the National Standards and “Chapter 12: Habits of Mind” in Benchmarks. The committee also consulted the National Standards and Benchmarks sections on “Science and Technology” and “The Designed World,” and the Validities of Science Inquiry Assessments project (Quellmalz and Haertel, 2005). Conducted by SRI International during 2001-2005, this study classified assessment items according to the inquiry standards discussed in the National Standards. The practices described below are found in most of the above sources. Cognitive research on science learning, international frameworks, and state standards were also used as reference points.

**Identifying Science Principles**

This category focuses on students’ ability to recall, define, relate, and represent basic science principles specified in the Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science content statements presented in Chapter Two. The content statements themselves are often closely related to one another conceptually. Moreover, the science principles included in the content statements can be represented in a variety of forms, such as words, pictures, graphs, tables, formulas, and diagrams (Martin, 1993; NRC, 1996). NAEP will assess students’ ability to describe, measure, or classify observations; state or

---

14 Since natural phenomena are understood and described based on collected observations, the terms “phenomena” and “observations” are intricately intertwined. For ease of communication, the Framework uses the term “observations” to represent both specific observations of a natural phenomenon and the phenomenon itself.
recognize principles included in the content statements; connect closely related content
statements; and relate different representations of science knowledge. The practices
assessed in this category draw on “declarative knowledge,” or “knowing that,” which is
described in the “Cognitive Demands” section later in this chapter. Identifying Science
Principles comprises the following general types of performance expectations:

- Describe, measure, or classify observations (e.g., describe the position and motion
  of objects, measure temperature, classify relationships between organisms as
  being predator/prey, parasite/host, producer/consumer)
- State or recognize correct science principles (e.g., “mass is conserved when
  substances undergo changes of state;” “all organisms are composed of cells;” “the
  atmosphere is a mixture of nitrogen, oxygen, and trace gases that include water
  vapor”)
- Demonstrate relationships among closely related science principles (e.g.,
  statements of Newton’s three laws of motion)
- Demonstrate relationships among different representations of principles (e.g.,
  verbal, symbolic, diagrammatic) and data patterns (e.g., tables, equations, graphs)

Identifying Science Principles is integral to all of the other science practices.

The following two items illustrate the expectation that students recognize correct science
principles.

**Illustrative Item**

Animals and plants are made up of a number of different chemical elements. What
happens to all of these elements when animals and plants die?

A. They die with the animal or plant.
B. They evaporate into the atmosphere.
C. They are recycled back into the environment.
D. They change into different elements.

Key: C
Source: TIMSS 2003, Grade 8

---

15 For ease of communication, this Framework provides grade-level designations for TIMSS items. TIMSS
actually assessed two target populations in 2003: Population 1 is defined as “the upper of the two adjacent
grades with the most 9-year-olds” (grade 4 in this Framework) and Population 2 is defined as “the upper of
the two adjacent grades with the most 13-year-olds” (grade 8 in this Framework). See http://bc.edu for
details.
Illustrative Item

The Earth's Moon is

A. always much closer to the Sun than it is to the Earth
B. always much closer to the Earth than it is to the Sun
C. about the same distance from the Sun as it is from the Earth
D. sometimes closer to the Sun than it is to the Earth and sometimes closer to the Earth than it is to the Sun

Key: B
Source: NAEP 2000, Grade 8

This item tests students’ ability to correctly identify simple information about the location of bodies within the solar system (“declarative knowledge”). More than half of the 8th graders answered it incorrectly. Thirty-five percent of the students thought that the Moon is sometimes closer to the Sun than to the Earth.

Using Science Principles

Scientific knowledge is useful for making sense of the natural world. Both scientists and informed citizens can use patterns in observations and theoretical models to predict and explain observations that they make now or that they will make in the future. The practices assessed in this category draw primarily on “schematic knowledge,” or “knowing why,” in addition to “declarative knowledge,” which is described in the “Cognitive Demands” section later in this chapter. Using Science Principles comprises the following general types of performance expectations:

- Explain observations of phenomena (using science principles from the content statements)
- Predict observations of phenomena (using science principles from the content statements, including quantitative predictions based on science principles that specify quantitative relationships among variables)
- Propose, analyze, and evaluate alternative explanations or predictions
- Suggest examples of observations that illustrate a science principle (e.g., identify examples where the net force on an object is zero; provide examples of observations explained by the movement of tectonic plates; given partial DNA sequences of organisms, identify likely sequences of close relatives)

The following item illustrates the expectation that students predict phenomena.
The first two categories—Identifying Science Principles and Using Science Principles—both require students to correctly state or recognize the science principles contained in the content statements. A difference between the categories is that Using Science Principles focuses on what makes science knowledge valuable—that is, its usefulness in making accurate predictions about phenomena and in explaining observations of the natural world in coherent ways (i.e., “knowing why”). Distinguishing between these two categories draws attention to differences in depth and richness of individuals’ knowledge of the content statements. Certain actions on the part of students lead to an inference of Identifying Science Principles, while other actions lead to an inference of Using Science Principles. Assuming a continuum from “just knowing the facts” to “using science principles,” there is considerable overlap at the boundaries. The line between the Identifying and Using categories is not distinct. Consider the following item; it illustrates the expectation that students connect different representations of principles. In this case, the student must identify the correct pictorial representation of a complete electrical circuit.
Student responses to this item are open to two interpretations. If students have had a great deal of exposure to these types of circuit representations, their responses would fall under Identifying Science Principles. If, however, these circuit representations are relatively novel for students, then they would need to do more reasoning and their responses would fall under Using Science Principles.

The following textbox provides further illustration of the distinction between identifying the boiling point of water (a fact) and using the relationship between boiling point and pressure (altitude) to explain or predict.

---

It is conceivable that students could answer this item correctly without understanding circuits, because illustration C seems the most reasonable (the left terminal is connected to the left end of the battery and the right terminal is connected to the right end of the battery).
Clarification: Distinguishing between Identifying Science Principles and Using Science Principles—A Boiling Point Example

Grade 8 Content Statement: Matter—Properties of Matter: Each element and compound has physical and chemical properties, such as boiling point, density, color, and conductivity, which are independent of the amount of the sample.17 (P8.4)

Distinguishing between the two categories of Identifying and Using Science Principles is a function of actions or performances. Using boiling point as an example, one might observe different responses to the question, “What is the boiling point of water?” Behaviors or actions might include:

- Penciling in the oval corresponding to 100°C in a selected response item.
- Writing the statement: “The boiling point of water is 100°C at sea level.”
- Writing the statement: “The boiling point of water depends on atmospheric pressure and is 100°C at sea level or 1 atm pressure. If you are on top of a mountain, water would probably boil at a different temperature because pressure is lower up there.”

The above responses evoke different inferences about the science understanding of the individual responding. Both the first and second responses suggest that the question is only assessing knowledge of facts or the ability to identify a science principle; however, they illustrate the difference between recognizing a correct answer and retrieving that correct answer from memory. The third response contains even more sophisticated information, suggesting that the student can use a science principle to make predictions, but this cannot be known for sure. Distinctions between these two categories can be clarified by examining student responses.

Conducting Scientific Inquiry

Scientists make observations about the natural world, identify patterns in data, and propose explanations to account for the patterns. While scientists differ greatly from one another in what phenomena they study and in how they go about their work, scientific inquiry involves the collection of relevant data, the use of logical reasoning, and the application of imagination in devising hypotheses to explain patterns in data. Scientific inquiry is a complex and time-intensive process that is iterative rather than linear. Scientists are also expected to exhibit, indeed to model, the habits of mind—curiosity, openness to new ideas, informed skepticism—that are part of science literacy. This includes the ability to read or listen critically to assertions in the media, deciding what evidence to pay attention to and what to dismiss, and distinguishing careful arguments from shoddy ones. Thus, Conducting Scientific Inquiry depends on the practices described above—Identifying Science Principles and Using Science Principles. Moreover, in addition to involving “declarative” and “schematic knowledge,” Conducting Scientific Inquiry draws heavily on “procedural knowledge”—“knowing how” (e.g., knowing how to determine the mass of an object). This Framework focuses

---

17 While this content statement generally holds, there are some compounds that decompose before boiling.
on a few key inquiry practices that are practical to measure in the NAEP Science Assessment. Conducting Scientific Inquiry comprises the following general types of performance expectations:  

- Design and critique aspects of scientific investigations (e.g., involvement of control groups, adequacy of sample)  
- Conduct scientific investigations using appropriate tools and techniques (e.g., selecting an instrument that measures the desired quantity—length, volume, weight, time interval, temperature—with the appropriate level of precision)  
- Identify patterns in data and/or relate patterns in data to theoretical models  
- Use empirical evidence to validate or criticize conclusions about explanations and predictions (e.g., check to see that the premises of the argument are explicit, notice when the conclusions do not follow logically from the evidence given)

When students reason critically about scientific inquiry, they may be drawing on the following ideas (see Benchmarks):

- If more than one variable changes at the same time in an experiment, the outcome of the experiment may not be clearly attributable to any one of the variables  
- A single example can never support the inference that something is always true, but sometimes a single example can support the inference that something is not always true  
- The way in which a sample is drawn affects how well it represents the population of interest—only some forms of randomly drawn samples provide a scientifically justifiable inference to the relevant population. Moreover, the larger the sample, the smaller the error in inference to the population. But, large samples do not necessarily guarantee representation, especially in the absence of random sampling  
- Arguments are flawed when fact and opinion are intermingled or the conclusions do not follow logically from the evidence given

NAEP will assess students’ abilities to Conduct Scientific Inquiry in two ways: students will be required to do the practices specified above, and students will critique examples of scientific inquiry. In both cases, some assessment tasks will be presented as paper-and-pencil items. In doing, tasks may present data tables and ask students which conclusions are consistent with the data. Other tasks will be presented as hands-on performance and/or interactive computer tasks—for example, where students collect data and present their results or where students specify experimental conditions on computer simulations and observe the outcomes. As to critiquing, students might be asked to identify flaws in a poorly designed investigation or suggest changes in the design in order to produce more reliable data. Tasks may be based on print or electronic media—for example, items may ask students to suggest alternative interpretations of data described in a newspaper article. For more on item formats, please see Chapter Four.

---

18 Additionally, 12th graders at the Advanced level are expected to be able to identify a scientific question for investigation. See Appendix C for Preliminary Achievement Level Descriptions.
The following middle school (grade 8) item illustrates the expectation that students conduct scientific investigations. This interactive computer task allows students to work with a simulated predator/prey (lynx/hare) population model. By manipulating the simulation, students gather data and solve the problems given.

**Illustrative Item**

Students use the modeling tool to observe population trends that result from different parameter values for the lynx and hare populations. The screen shot below is an example of what students see after they have selected parameters and run the simulation. Note that it is a single screen shot and represents only a small subset of the many screens actually seen by students engaged in this interactive computer task.

After students have run the modeling software, they are asked a series of questions (e.g., size of the hare population over time).

Source: Quellmalz et al., 2004

---

19 The image quality of this screen shot will be improved in the next draft.
Employing Technological Design

In reviewing the four science practices developed by the Planning Committee, the Steering Committee made the following recommendation: Remove Employing Technological Design as a category of science practice. Use Technological Design as a context for some science assessment items.

The rationale for this recommendation is as follows. As this is a science assessment, all items should be focused on measuring students’ understanding of components of science, not on measuring students’ understanding of components of technological design. Moreover, at present, technological design is taught and assessed to only a limited extent in U.S. schools; therefore, inclusion in the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment is premature. If “Employing Technological Design” is not recommended as a science practice for the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment, this decision should be revisited after 2009.

In both the National Standards and Benchmarks, the term “technological design” refers to the process that underlies the development of all technologies, from paperclips to space stations. As pointed out in the National Standards “this meaning is not to be confused with ‘instructional technology,’ which provides students and teachers with exciting tools—such as computers—to conduct inquiry and to understand science” (p. 24).

The Framework uses the term Employing Technological Design to describe the process of applying science to solving practical problems in a real-world context. The reason for including technological design in the science curriculum is clearly stated in the National Standards: “Although these are science education standards, the relationship between science and technology is so close that any presentation of science without developing an understanding of technology would portray an inaccurate picture of science” (p. 190). The National Standards goes on to define technology and its relationship to science as follows:

As used in the Standards, the central distinguishing characteristic between science and technology is a difference in goal: The goal of science is to understand the natural world, and the goal of technology is to make modifications in the world to meet human needs. Technology as design is included in the Standards as parallel to science as inquiry (p. 24).

As it is in scientific inquiry, the professional practice of technological design (also called engineering design) is complex and time-intensive. Because NAEP addresses the subject area of science, the use of technological design components in the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment will be limited to those that reveal students’ abilities to apply science principles in the context of technological design. Students’ abilities to Identify and Use Science Principles should provide the opportunities as well as the limits for assessment tasks related to Employing Technological Design. For example, if students are asked to design a town’s energy plan, they may be expected to consider the environmental effects of using natural gas versus using coal, but they would not be expected to consider the economic, political, or social ramifications of such a plan.

---

20 This practice is elaborated in some detail since it is new in NAEP Science Assessments.
The Framework samples key components of Employing Technological Design from the more complete descriptions found in the National Standards and Benchmarks. Employing Technological Design comprises the following general types of performance expectations, all of which entail students using science knowledge to:

- Propose or critique solutions to problems, given criteria and scientific constraints
- Identify scientific tradeoffs in design decisions and choose among alternative solutions
- Apply science principles or data to anticipate effects of technological design decisions

These three components are elaborated further below.

The technological design process is rooted in the definition of a problem that can be solved through a technological design process. The problem generally describes a human need or want and specifies criteria and constraints for an acceptable solution. Only constraints that reflect the science content statements in this Framework will be considered in developing relevant NAEP test items. The engineer who designs a bridge, for example, must take into account the effects of wind and water currents by using relevant physics principles to simulate these effects on possible structures before the bridge is built.

Even if limited to the application of science principles, choosing between alternative solutions almost always involves tradeoffs. As stated in Benchmarks:

There is no perfect design. Designs that are best in one respect... may be inferior in other ways... Usually some features must be sacrificed to get others. How such tradeoffs are received depends upon which features are emphasized and which are downplayed (p. 49).

The application of science principles may be used to compare alternative technological solutions to see which will better solve the problem and accomplish the goals of the project.

While the chosen solution may be intended to solve a human problem or meet a human need, the effects are not always as planned. When the automobile was invented, no one could have predicted the environmental and human health impacts of vehicle emissions. However, it is the job of scientists and engineers working together to apply their knowledge of the natural world to make such predictions. According to the National Standards, students in grades K-4 should know that:

People continue inventing new ways of doing things, solving problems, and getting work done. New ideas and inventions often affect other people; sometimes the effects are good and sometimes they are bad. It is helpful to try to determine in advance how ideas and inventions will affect other people (p. 140).

In terms of cognitive demands, both “declarative (knowing that)” and “schematic (knowing why) knowledge” come into play for the three components of Employing Technological Design, as does “strategic knowledge—knowing when and where to apply knowledge.”
The role of technological design in U.S. science classrooms currently varies widely, and it is not possible to predict the future extent to which it will be integrated into the school curriculum. The role of technological design in NAEP Science will need to be revisited regularly, in response to its evolving role in school science.

Since Employing Technological Design in the NAEP Assessment needs to have direct relevance to science, it is assumed that students have some understanding about the relationship between science and technology; the science-technology relationship is further discussed in Chapter Four as providing context for assessment items.

**Summary of Practices**

The general performance expectations for each of the four practices are summarized in Table 10. Dashed lines indicate that the boundaries between these categories are not distinct, and some overlap is to be expected.
Table 10. General Performance Expectations for Science Practices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identifying Science Principles</th>
<th>Using Science Principles</th>
<th>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</th>
<th>Employing Technological Design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Describe, measure, or classify observations</td>
<td>Explain observations of phenomena</td>
<td>Design and critique aspects of scientific investigations</td>
<td>Propose or critique solutions to problems given criteria and scientific constraints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State or recognize correct science principles</td>
<td>Predict observations of phenomena</td>
<td>Conduct scientific investigations using appropriate tools and techniques</td>
<td>Identify scientific tradeoffs in design decisions and choose among alternative solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate relationships among closely related science principles</td>
<td>Propose, analyze, and evaluate alternative explanations or predictions</td>
<td>Identify patterns in data and/or relate patterns in data to theoretical models.</td>
<td>Apply science principles or data to anticipate effects of technological design decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrate relationships among different representations of principles</td>
<td>Suggest examples of observations that illustrate a science principle</td>
<td>Use empirical evidence to validate or criticize conclusions about explanations and predictions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21 Again, note the Steering Committee’s recommendation that Employing Technological Design be removed as a category of science practice. See p. 74.
Clarification: Sample Performance Expectations for a Life Science Content Statement

The examples below are all related to the Grade 8 Life Science content statement: Plants are producers—they use the energy from light\(^\text{22}\) to make sugar molecules from the atoms of carbon dioxide and water. Plants use these sugars, along with minerals from the soil, to form fats, proteins, and carbohydrates. This food can be used immediately, incorporated into the plant’s cells as the plant grows, or stored for later use. (L8.4)

All examples are also related to a specific situation: Two different varieties of grass, one better adapted to full sunlight and one better adapted to shade, are each grown in sunlight and in shade.

The results of a controlled experiment along these lines might look something like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Grass Type A</th>
<th>Grass Type B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunlight</td>
<td>“Better growth”(^*)</td>
<td>“Less good growth”(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shade</td>
<td>“Less good growth”(^*)</td>
<td>“Better growth”(^*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\) Several variables could be used to indicate growth: mass or dry mass of plants, thickness of stems, number of new sprouts, etc.

Identifying Science Principles
1. State what plants do with food and where a plant’s food comes from.
2. Classify the grass plants as producers or consumers.

The first performance calls for students to repeat information found in the content statement with little or no modification. The second performance asks students to use the definition of producers given in the content statement to classify or identify the plants.

Using Science Principles
1. Predict whether sugar will move up or down the stems of the grass plants and explain your prediction.
2. Explain where the mass of the growing grass comes from.

These performances require students to use principles in the content statement to predict or explain specific observations (growing grass in this case). The content statement itself does not provide the answers to the questions.

\(^{22}\) The phrase “they use the energy from light” does not imply that energy is converted into matter or that energy is lost. See p. 44 for textbox on Crosscutting Content.
Conducting Scientific Inquiry

1. Given a data table showing the mass of grass plants of each type grown in the sunlight and shade, draw conclusions about which variety of grass is better adapted to each condition.
2. List other variables that should be controlled in order to feel confident about your conclusions.

The first performance is related to the content statement in that the importance of light for plant growth is useful background information for students. However, the performance requires interpretation of new information (the data table) that has to do with differences among types of plants, while the content statement contains generalizations about all plants. Thus, the performance requires students to use the data to develop new knowledge that they had not had before. The second performance is in part an assessment of the students’ understanding of experimental design. However, good answers would also require knowledge of this and related content statements to identify variables that are relevant to plant growth.

Employing Technological Design

1. Develop a plan for using different kinds of grass seed in different parts of a partially shaded park.

This performance requires students to use knowledge of the content statement and the experimental results in order to accomplish a practical goal, in this case, a park with grass growing well in both sunny and shaded areas.

Performance Expectations

The NAEP Science Assessment will focus on how students bring science content (as defined by the content statements in Chapter Two) to bear as they engage in the practices described in this chapter. That is, practices are not content-free skills; they require knowledge of the Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Sciences as well as knowledge about scientific inquiry and the nature of science (e.g., drawing conclusions from investigations). Practices, particularly Using Science Knowledge, Conducting Scientific Inquiry, and Employing Technological Design, involve making connections between generalized patterns or theoretical models and observations or examples of specific phenomena.

Performance expectations are derived from the intersection of content statements and practices—if the content statements from the Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Sciences are the columns of a table and the practices (Identifying Science Principles, Using Science Principles, Conducting Scientific Inquiry, Employing Technological Design) are the rows, the cells of the table are inhabited by performance expectations. This is illustrated in Table 11, which is based on Figure 1 in Chapter One. Note that performance expectation cells may overlap, since the content and practice categories themselves are not distinct (as indicated by dashed lines).
### Table 11. Generating Examples of 8th Grade Performance Expectations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Science Practices</th>
<th>Science Content</th>
<th>Earth and Space Science content statements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identifying Science Principles</strong></td>
<td>Identify the units that might be used to measure the speed of an ant and the speed of an airplane. Identify the raw materials that plants use to make sugars. Identify wind as the movement of air from higher to lower temperature regions.</td>
<td>Explain why glaciers are larger on the north slope of mountains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Using Science Principles</strong></td>
<td>A manufacturer states that an object (such as a toy car) moves with a constant speed along a straight line. Describe the tools and procedures that might be used to check a particular claim about the motion of a particular object. Explain why sugars are found to move primarily down the stem of a growing plant (e.g., potato, carrot).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</strong></td>
<td>Design an experiment to determine how the speed of a battery operated toy car changes as a result of added mass. Criticize conclusions about likely consequences of consuming various diets based on flawed premises or flaws in logic of reasoning. Given data on annual trends of incoming solar radiation for five cities, determine whether the location is in the Northern or Southern Hemisphere.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employing Technological Design</strong></td>
<td>Design a car that will maintain a constant speed as it goes down a hill. Identify possible side effects of an irrigation system. Design a system for a house that would optimize keeping the house cool in the summer and warm in the winter.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The content statements (from Chapter Two) on which these performance expectations are based are written in general terms. The process of creating performance expectations requires further clarification of the content statements themselves. As described in Chapter Two, this involves “unpacking” the meanings of the content statements and setting boundaries on the content to be assessed at a given grade level. Moreover, if the crossing of content statements with practices were done for every science content statement and practice, the number of performance expectations generated could be
unmanageably large. Therefore, selected examples are provided below. Additional examples of this process are provided in the Specifications.\textsuperscript{23}

Performance expectations are written with particular verbs indicating the desired performance expected of the student. The action verbs associated with each practice are not firmly fixed. The use of any action verb must be contextualized. For example, when the “\textit{conduct} scientific investigations” is crossed with a states-of-matter content statement, this can generate a performance expectation that employs a different action verb, “\textit{heats} as a way to evaporate liquids.”

Generating and Interpreting Items

Neither the content statements from Chapter Two nor the practice statements discussed in this chapter will be assessed in isolation. All assessment items will be derived from a combination of the two—i.e., from performance expectations. Observed student responses to these items can then be compared with expected student responses in order to make inferences about what students know and can do. An Earth and Space Science example of the process of generating and interpreting items follows. The illustrative items in Table 12 are of two types: research-based descriptions of item suggestions and items used in existing assessments. Additional examples of the process of generating and interpreting items are provided in the Specifications.

Table 12. Earth and Space Science Example of Generating and Interpreting Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 8: Earth in Space and Time—Objects in the Universe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Content Statement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravity is the force that keeps most objects in the solar system in regular and predictable motion. Those motions explain such phenomena as the day, the year, phases of the moon, and eclipses. (E8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unpack the content</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This content statement encompasses two interrelated sets of concepts:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Gravity acts between and among all objects in the solar system, and it plays an essential role in the regular and predictable motions of planets around the Sun, satellites around planets, and so on. Specifically, students should understand that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Gravity is a force that is exerted by every object on every other object.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Gravity operates in space and on other planets. (Note that a common misconception among students is that there is no gravity in space because there is no air up there.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 The almost circular motion of planets and satellites results from the force of gravity and the tendency of a body to continue moving through space in a straight line (unless acted upon by a net force).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{23} Examples provided are illustrative, not exhaustive. It is expected that assessment developers would continue this process for as many cells in the matrix as possible.
### Unpack the content

2. The regular and predictable motions of the Earth, Sun, and Moon cause the cyclic phenomena that can be observed in the sky. Specifically, students should know that:
- The day-night cycle results from Earth’s rotation on its axis once in 24 hours.
- Annual changes in the visible constellations and the seasons result from Earth’s revolution around the Sun once every 365-1/4 days.
- Moon phases result from the moon’s orbit around the Earth, which changes what part of the moon is lighted by the sun and can be seen from Earth.

### Define the content boundaries

It is not expected that students can use the inverse square relationship to find the strength of the gravitational field between two objects.

It is not expected that students recognize that the motion of planets and satellites is elliptical and not circular.

### Examples of Performance Expectations

**Identifying Science Principles.** Students can:
- Identify gravity as the force that is exerted by every object in the solar system on every other object.
- Identify gravity as the force that keeps the Moon circling Earth, rather than flying off into space.
- Describe the regular motions of Earth through space, including its daily rotation on its axis, and its yearly motion around the Sun.

**Using Science Principles.** Students can:
- Explain that the orbit of one object around another is due to the tendency of an object to move in a straight line through space and the force of gravity between the two objects.
- Explain how the monthly pattern of Moon phases observed from a point on Earth results from the moon’s orbit around the Earth, which changes what part of the moon is lighted by the sun.
- Distinguish between explanations for lunar [Moon] phases and lunar eclipses.

**Conducting Scientific Inquiry.** Students can:
- Arrange a set of photographs of the Moon taken over a month’s time in chronological order and explain the order in terms of a model of the Earth-Sun-Moon system.
- Design a plan for observing the Sun over a year’s time to find out how the length of the day is related to the rising and setting point of the Sun on the horizon.
- Design a series of observations or measurements to determine why some objects—such as certain asteroids or comets—visit the solar system just once, never to return.
### Examples of Performance Expectations

Employing Technological Design. Students can:
- Choose among several (qualitative) methods for aiming a rocket so that it reaches the planet Mars and give a rationale that shows understanding of orbital motion.
- Use scientific trade-offs in deciding whether or not to support a plan to observe and predict orbits of asteroids that enter the inner solar system.
- Given a scenario in which a person is shipwrecked on an island in the ocean, critique plans to create a calendar to keep track of birthdays or important holidays.

### Items to assess identifying this science principle.

#### Items Used in Existing Assessments

What force keeps the planets in our solar system in orbit around the Sun?

- A. gravitational
- B. magnetic
- C. electrical
- D. nuclear

Key: A  
Source: Adapted from Massachusetts Comprehensive Assessment System 2000, Grade 8

---

24 Permission pending for use in final document.
**Items to assess identifying this science principle.**

The drawings show a rocket being launched from Earth and returning.

Position 1  
Position 2  
Position 3

In which of these positions does gravity act on the rocket?

A. 3 only  
B. 1 and 2 only  
C. 2 and 3 only  
D. 1, 2 and 3

Key: D  
Source: TIMSS 1999, Grade 8

---

**Item to assess using this science principle.**

**Item Suggestion**

Is there gravity in space? Which of the following gives the best response to this question?

a. No. You can see that astronauts float around weightless in their cabin.  
b. No. There’s no air in space, so how can there be gravity there?  
c. Yes. There must be gravity since planets keep circling the sun.  
d. Some. The moon has one-sixth as much gravity as Earth, so we know there’s some gravity in space.

Key: C

---

25 The image quality of this item will be improved in the next draft.
### Item to assess using this science principle.

**Interpretation:** The correct answer is c. This question is drawn from a series of studies that show a common misconception—that there is no gravity in space because there’s no air up there (“schematic knowledge”—see “Cognitive Demands” section later in this chapter). The distracters are drawn from student interviews. It is likely that this misconception stems from pictures that students have seen of astronauts floating around in a “weightless” environment while in orbit.

### Item Used in Existing Assessments

**A space station is to be located between the Earth and the Moon at the place where the Earth’s gravitational pull is equal to the Moon’s gravitational pull. On the diagram below, circle the letter indicating the approximate location of the space station.**

![Diagram of Earth and Moon](image_url)

**Explain your answer.**

Source: NAEP 1996, Grade 8

### Item to assess conducting scientific inquiry in a context that is related to this science principle.

**Item Suggestion**

**Constructed response:** The student is presented with a set of photographs of the Moon taken over a month’s time. But the photos are not in chronological order. The student is asked to arrange them in the order in which they were taken and explain the order that they chose. (This item is drawn from Schatz and Cooper, 1994).

**Interpretation:** Students are asked to find patterns in the data. First, they will be sufficiently familiar with the lunar cycle to arrange them in order, either in a line, or in a circle. Then, they will be able to explain moon phases in terms of the changing angle between the sun and moon as observed from Earth, as the Moon circles Earth (“declarative,” “procedural” and largely “schematic knowledge”—see “Cognitive Demands” section later in this chapter). This is a challenging question that many educated adults fail. However, studies show that middle school students can learn to do this by observing lunar phases and explaining them using a model of the Earth-Sun-Moon system (Barnett and Morran, 2002, and Kavanagh, Agan and Sneider, 2005).
Item to assess employing technological design in a context that is related to this science principle.

Item Suggestions

Constructed response questions can be created from any of the performance expectations mentioned above: a) Choose among several methods for aiming a rocket so that it reaches the planet Mars and give a rationale that shows understanding of orbital motion; b) Use scientific trade-offs in deciding whether or not to support a plan to observe and predict orbits of asteroids that enter the inner solar system; or c) Given a scenario in which a person is shipwrecked on an island in the ocean, critique plans to create a clock to tell the time of day or a calendar to keep track of birthdays or important holidays.

NASA wants to launch a rocket from Earth so that it will orbit Mars and use as little fuel as possible. Which of these statements about such a flight is WRONG?

a. In the first phase of its flight the forces acting on the rocket are the thrust of its engine and gravity.
b. When the engine shuts off the only force acting on the rocket is the force of gravity.
c. Once the rocket is above Earth’s atmosphere, it can be aimed directly at Mars since there is no gravity in space.
d. If the rocket is aimed correctly it can go into orbit around Mars without firing its engines, as long as its tendency to go straight is balanced by the pull of Mars’ gravity.

Key: C

Interpretation: The correct answer is c, since there is gravity in space and planning for such a rocket flight would need to take into account the gravity from Earth, Mars, and the Sun (“declarative knowledge”—see “Cognitive Demands” section later in this chapter). This question is drawn from a series of studies that show that the following misconceptions about gravity are common among many students at the middle, high school, and even college level: If a body is moving there is a force acting on it in the direction of motion (Gunstone and Watts 1985, Finegold and Gorsky 1991, Sequeira and Leite 1991); there is no gravity in space (Chandler 1991, Bar, Zinn, Goldmuntz and Sneider 1994, and Morrison1999); and gravity cannot act in space because there is no air in space (Bar and Zinn, 1998). One study (Bar, Sneider, and Martimbeau, 1997) showed that with effective instruction middle school students can overcome these misconceptions and learn that gravity does, in fact, act in space, where it keeps satellites and planets in their orbits.

Learning Progressions

A learning progression is a sequence of successively more complex ways of reasoning about a set of ideas. For any important set of ideas in science, understanding increases over time as students learn more and more, moving from initially naïve knowledge of the natural world to increasingly more sophisticated knowledge, and this typically occurs in conjunction with educational experiences in and out of school (NRC, 2001). Put another
way, the progression from novice learner to competent to expert begins with the acquisition of relevant experiences, principles, concepts, facts, and skills and moves to the accumulation and organization of knowledge in a specific domain and finally to expertise after extensive experience and practice (e.g., Ericsson, 2002). The attention paid to growth of understanding may yield rich information about student progress.

Learning progressions are suggested in this Framework when possible. In the content statement tables in Chapter Two, key science content to be mastered at each of grades 4, 8, and 12 is organized across grades to highlight the developmental sequence. The organization reflects progressions that are evidence-driven when possible.

Research has been conducted on students’ learning progressions in some areas of science, and it is expected that this research will directly inform the development of assessment items. For example, the National Research Council (NRC) has published papers on learning progressions in evolution (Catley et al., 2005) and in atomic molecular theory (Smith et al., 2004).

Several caveats about learning progressions are in order. First, learning progressions are not developmentally inevitable, but depend upon instruction. Second, there is no single “correct order.” There may be multiple pathways by which certain understandings can be reached. Which pathway is taken may be influenced by prior instructional experiences, individual differences, and current instruction. Third, actual learning is more like ecological succession, with changes taking place simultaneously in multiple interconnected ways. Thus, attempts to describe specific sequences of learning performances, including those in the NRC papers (2004, 2005), must inevitably be artificially constrained and ordered. Finally, the learning progressions suggested in the Framework and Specifications are partly hypothetical or inferential, since long-term longitudinal accounts of learning by individual students do not exist.

Table 13 is based on the work of Smith et al. (2004). The table includes examples of performance expectations for a possible learning progression for Properties of and Changes in Matter. These illustrative performance expectations are not intended to denote a sense of content priority or importance, nor should they be interpreted as a complete representation of the research currently available.
### Table 13. Examples of Performance Expectations for Matter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Grade 8</th>
<th>Grade 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Identifying Science Principles**  
- Classify objects based on the materials they are made of. | **Identifying Science Principles**  
- Describe the properties of atoms and molecules. | **Identifying Science Principles**  
- Classify substances as elements or compounds. |
| **Using Science Principles**  
- Explain how two solid objects could be made of the same material if they are of equal volume and weight [mass], but not if they are of the same volume and different weights [mass].  
- Infer that phase change (e.g., melting) or breaking something into small pieces affects the identity of an object but not the identity of the material of which it is made. | **Using Science Principles**  
- Explain the properties of solids, liquids, and gases in terms of atomic molecular theory.  
- Predict the mass of a sample of iodine after sublimation. | **Using Science Principles**  
- Distinguish between macroscopic properties of matter (e.g., boiling point, density) and molecular explanations for those properties.  
- Explain changes of state, thermal expansion, and dissolving in terms of arrangement and motion of molecules. |
| **Conducting Scientific Inquiry**  
- Collect and represent data about the relationship between two variables (e.g., volume and weight [mass]) for objects made of one (or more) kind of material. | **Conducting Scientific Inquiry**  
- Interpret graphical representations of mass, volume, and density. | **Conducting Scientific Inquiry**  
- Conduct investigations to determine the density, melting point, and boiling point of an unknown material. |

Boundaries specific to this learning progression are provided in the Specifications. These boundaries outline appropriate technical vocabulary (e.g., names of chemical elements); examples of science principles (e.g., water vapor); instruments (e.g., rulers, balances); units of measure (e.g., grams, centimeters); and representations (e.g., graphs, chemical formulas).

### Cognitive Demands

The four science practices—Identifying Science Principles; Using Science Principles; Conducting Scientific Inquiry; and Employing Technological Design—articulate what students should be able to do with the science principles presented in Chapter Two. Certain ways of knowing and thinking—cognitive demands—underpin these four science practices, as pointed out above. Here, the four cognitive demands—“knowing that,” “knowing how,” “knowing why,” and “knowing when and where to apply knowledge”—are discussed somewhat further (see Specifications for more detail). The goal is to further elucidate the descriptions of the science practices, to facilitate item specifications and item writing, and to provide a framework for interpreting students’ responses. That is, the set of four cognitive demands can be used as a lens to facilitate item development.
and to analyze student responses, thereby checking expectations regarding what content and practice(s) are being tapped by a given assessment item.\textsuperscript{26}

“Knowing that” refers to “declarative knowledge.” This cognitive demand sets up the expectation that students should know and reason with basic science facts, concepts, and principles (e.g., density is mass per unit volume), and that they should be able to recall, define, represent, use, and relate these basic constructs as appropriate. This cognitive demand corresponds most closely to the science practice, Identifying Science Principles.

“Knowing how” refers to “procedural knowledge.” This cognitive demand sets up the expectation that students can apply the science principles, concepts and facts in doing science. For example, students should know how to perform simple (routine) and complex procedures such as systematically observing and recording which objects sink and float in water, using a balance scale, measuring an object’s mass, calculating an object’s density, and designing and interpreting the results of an investigation (e.g., manipulate one variable and hold others constant). “Procedural knowledge” underlies much of the science practice of Conducting Scientific Inquiry as defined in this Framework.

“Knowing why” refers to “schematic knowledge.” This cognitive demand sets up the expectation that students can explain and predict natural phenomena, as well as account for how and why scientific claims are evaluated, argued and justified, or warranted (explaining and reasoning with models). That is, this cognitive demand deals with students’ understanding of how the natural world works, such as why some things sink and others float in water, why the moon changes phases, or why light is essential to the propagation of most plants. This cognitive demand overlaps considerably with the science understanding expected in Using Science Principles and also with Conducting Scientific Inquiry and Employing Technological Design.

The last cognitive demand, “knowing when and where to apply knowledge,” or “strategic knowledge,” is commonly talked about as “transfer” of current knowledge to new situations (tasks or problems). “Strategic knowledge” involves knowing when and where to use science knowledge in a new situation and reasoning through a novel task to reach a goal. “Strategic knowledge” sets up the expectation that students can take their current knowledge and apply it to a somewhat novel situation. Such adaptation of knowledge to a particular problem and context underlies especially the practices of Conducting Scientific Inquiry and Employing Technological Design.

The cognitive demands are related, not independent (similar to the science practices). That is, when explaining “why,” a student will need to call on “knowing that” and, at times, in justifying “why,” may have to call on “knowing how.” And, depending on the novelty of the task, “strategic knowledge (knowing when and where to apply knowledge)” may be called into play. Nevertheless, these related cognitive demands can

\textsuperscript{26} Note that more than one cognitive demand can be associated with the more complex science practices; these associations may shift according to the knowledge that students at different grade levels bring to an assessment task.
be distinguished, and it is helpful to do so for item development and interpretation of student responses.
CHAPTER FOUR: OVERVIEW OF THE SPECIFICATIONS

Introduction

This chapter provides an overview of the Specifications. It begins with a brief description of the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment and a discussion of how items can be set in certain contexts (e.g., history and nature of science) to illustrate components of science content that are not otherwise incorporated in the content statements. The types of items to be included in the assessment are described, and examples are provided in illustrative item textboxes. To capture the wide range of science content statements and practices, the assessment will contain an array of item types. Consideration is given to students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities. The chapter concludes with recommendations for small-scale special studies.

Overview of the Science Assessment

The NAEP Science Assessment will include items sampled from the domain of science achievement identified by the intersection of the content areas and science practices at grades 4, 8, and 12. The types of items on the assessment will include both selected- and constructed-responses. Selected-response items take a multiple-choice format while constructed-response items vary from short answer to extended response to “complex” items. “Complex” items attempt to capture students’ connected understanding of science and the “mental models” that they use to explain the natural world, as well as aspects of their ability to inquire and design. At each of grades 4, 8, and 12, student assessment time will be divided evenly (50-50) between selected-response items and constructed-response items. Extra assessment time will be provided for a portion of the student sample so that additional hands-on performance tasks and interactive computer tasks can be administered.

At grade 4, the items will be distributed approximately evenly among Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science. At grade 8, the balance shifts toward a somewhat greater emphasis on Earth and Space Science, whereas at grade 12, the balance shifts toward the Physical and Life Sciences, with a lesser emphasis on Earth and Space Science (see Table 14).

Finally, the distribution of items across the science practices will be, roughly, 55% combined Identifying Science Principles and Using Science Principles, 35% Conducting Scientific Inquiry, and 10% Employing Technological Design. Moving from grades 4 to 8 to 12, the emphasis on Using Science Principles increases, while the emphasis on Identifying Science Principles decreases (see Table 15). The expectation is that, as students move up through the grades, their critical response skills and methodological and analytical capabilities will increase.
Assessment Item Contexts

There are certain components of science content, such as the history and nature of science, and the relationship between science and technology, with which science-literate citizens should be familiar. In Chapter Three, the nature of science is largely addressed through a discussion of the science practices (particularly Using Science Principles and Conducting Scientific Inquiry). The relationship between science and technology is partially addressed in a discussion of the Employing Technological Design practice. The history and nature of science not only clarify facets of science practices, but also the human aspect of science, and the role science has played in various cultures. Students can see that science changes, and new conclusions can be made on the basis of new empirical data. The complementary relationship between science and technology can be seen, for example, in that scientists use technological tools to empirically test proposed explanations for questions about the natural world; and engineers develop adaptations to the natural world to address human problems, needs, and aspirations based in part on science.

When items are written to particular content statements, they may be framed in these contextual components of science content. Aspects of the history and nature of science and the relationship between science and technology will thus be incorporated into the contexts of assessment items (see illustrative item below). Please see the Specifications for details.

Illustrative Item

In an experiment, Ernest Rutherford observed that some of the alpha particles directed at a thin gold foil were scattered at large angles. This scattering occurred because the

A. negatively charged alpha particles were attracted to the gold’s positive atomic nuclei.  
B. negatively charged alpha particles were repelled by the gold’s negative atomic nuclei.  
C. positively charged alpha particles were attracted to the gold’s negative atomic nuclei.  
D. positively charged alpha particles were repelled by the gold’s positive atomic nuclei.

Key: D  
Source: University of the State of New York, Regents High School Examination, 1997, Physics

---

27 Note the Steering Committee’s recommendation that Employing Technological Design be removed as a category of science practice. See p. 74. If this recommendation is accepted, this paragraph will be revised accordingly.

28 Permission pending for use in final document.
Types of Items

The judicious selection of items lies at the heart of any effective assessment of science achievement. The framework for the 1996-2005 NAEP Science Assessments called for three types of items: multiple-choice items, open-ended paper-and-pencil items, and performance exercises. Multiple-choice items made up about 40 percent of the assessment, as measured by student response time, with open-response items comprising about 60 percent of assessment time. In addition, sub-samples of students were given an extra 20 minutes in grades 4 and 8, and 30 minutes in grade 12, to complete hands-on performance tasks. This Framework roughly follows the 1996-2005 recommendations in item structure but goes beyond by specifying additional item types—some selected-response and others constructed-response (see White & Gunstone’s Probing Understanding, 1992). As noted above, the 2009 recommendation for item distribution by item format is 50 percent selected-response and 50 percent constructed-response.

Justification for Variation in Item Formats

Issues of time and cost are paramount in any assessment; and so, inevitably, most of the item formats on the NAEP Science Assessment will be rather traditional selected-response and short constructed-response. However, some more complex items should be part of any science assessment.

Complex item types often correlate positively and cluster with more efficient and simpler item types. However, they are recommended in the Framework for the following reasons:

- Items may correlate positively with one another, but they do not necessarily measure the same thing. Positive correlations can arise even when the cognitive demands of the assessment items vary. Research has shown that items vary in their cognitive demands for different kinds of knowledge and reasoning (e.g., Leighton, 2004).
- The NAEP Science Assessment signals what kinds of tasks, problems, and exercises along with the kinds of knowledge and reasoning that should be expected of students as a result of what is taught in the science curriculum, consistent with national science standards.

For these reasons, the Framework specifies a variety of item formats (“tasks”) for the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment.

Definitions of Item Formats

The Framework distinguishes selected-response from constructed-response item formats. For selected-response formats, students respond to a prompt by selecting the answer they believe to be most scientifically justifiable from a given set alternatives. In contrast, with constructed-response formats, students respond to a prompt by “generating” or “constructing” a response. The constructed response might be a single word, a short answer, an essay explanation, a summary of a laboratory investigation using concrete materials, or typed responses to a computer simulation. Following are the types of items to be used on the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment:
Selected-response items:
- Individual multiple-choice items
- Cluster selected-response items
- Predict-Observe-Explain (POE)

Constructed-response items:
- Short constructed-response items
- Extended constructed-response items
- POE
- Concept mapping tasks
- Hands-on performance tasks
- Interactive computer tasks

Selected-Response Items

Selected-response items include individual multiple-choice items, cluster selected-response items, and Predict-Observe-Explain (POE) items.

Individual multiple-choice items

Selected-response items most often take a multiple-choice format. Students read, reflect, and then select an answer from, say, four alternatives provided. The alternatives include the most scientifically justifiable response—the “answer”—as well as three “distractors.” The distractors should be plausible and, when feasible, the distractors should also draw from current understanding about students’ learning progressions to include plausible but not scientifically justifiable distractors. Whenever possible, and especially when the focus is on Using Science Principles or “knowing why (schematic knowledge),” naïve conceptions and explanations of the natural or human-made world should serve as distractors.

Of the following two multiple-choice items, both require Identifying Science Principles and both tap the cognitive demand, “knowing that (declarative knowledge).” The first item taps simple factual content. The second item taps more conceptually sophisticated content. For some students, if they cannot easily recall the science content, this second item may require Using Science Principles, i.e., tapping more of “knowing why” than “knowing that.”

---

29, 30 Through their performances, students construct their own answers to hands-on and interactive computer tasks. However, in recording their answers, students may be asked to respond to both “selected-response” and “constructed-response” items. For example, 12th graders might be asked to manipulate a computer simulation of a chemical reaction; a multiple-choice question could ask students to choose the correct mass of a reaction product; and a short constructed-response question could ask students to describe how mass is conserved in chemical reactions.
**Illustrative Item**

Air is made up of many gases. Which gas is found in the greatest amount?

A. Nitrogen  
B. Oxygen  
C. Carbon Dioxide  
D. Hydrogen

Key: A  
Source: TIMSS 1994, Grade 8

---

**Illustrative Item**

The diagram above shows a map of the world with the lines of latitude marked. Which of the following places marked on the map is most likely to have an average yearly temperature similar to location X?  

A. location A  
B. location D  
C. location C  
D. location D

Key: A  
Source: TIMSS 2003, Grade 8

Two special kinds of selected-response, multiple-choice items will be included in the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment: Cluster and POE.
Cluster selected-response items

The NAEP Science Assessment should include cluster selected-response items, and their development should be guided by current research on different forms of these items. In this type of item set, two or more multiple-choice items focus on an important idea or “mental model.” Hence, these items tap the practice of Using Science Principles and the cognitive demand of “knowing why.” Cluster selected-response items address, in some depth, a particular key idea in science (e.g., buoyancy) and probe the conceptions and “mental models” that underlie students’ explanations of and reasoning about the natural world. For example, the following two items were part of a set of cluster items probing high school students’ mental models in astronomy:

Illustrative Items

What causes day and night?

A. The earth spins on its axis. (66%)
B. The earth moves around the sun. (26%)
C. Clouds block out the sun’s light. (0%)
D. The earth moves into and out of the sun’s shadow. (3%)
E. The sun goes round the earth. (4%)

Key: A

The main reason for it being hotter in summer than in winter is:

A. The earth’s distance from the sun changes. (45%)
B. The sun is higher in the sky. (12%)
C. The distance between the northern hemisphere and the sun changes. (36%)
D. Ocean currents carry warm water north. (3%)
E. An increase occurs in “greenhouse” gases. (3%)

Key: B

Percentages of student responses to each option are given in parentheses.

Source: Sadler (1998)

Another approach is to develop a cluster of ordered multiple-choice items. These items track students’ performance along a learning progression from naïve understandings through more reasoned misconceptions to full and scientifically justified understandings. In this approach, the progression is first described and then divided into levels so that multiple-choice items can be designed specifically to assess the performance level that a student (or group of students) has reached.
Predict-Observe-Explain (POE) Selected-Response Items

These types of selected-response items ask the student to predict, observe, and/or explain as follows: A situation is described; and the student’s task is to choose a prediction for what will happen (sometimes with justification), and/or to choose an explanation for what appears to be an anomaly. POE items tend to tap the practice of Using Science Principles and the cognitive demand of “knowing why (schematic knowledge).” For example, the following POE item was used with seventh graders and focuses on prediction based on a mental model of buoyancy:

**Illustrative Item**

Rich cut a block (A) into two unequal parts. Part B is 2/3 of the original block (A), and part C is 1/3 the original block (A). Block A sinks in water. What will happen to B and C when placed in water?

A. Both B and C will float.
B. B will sink; C will float.
C. B will subsurface float; C will float.
D. Both B and C will sink.

Key: D
Source: Adapted from Shavelson et al. (2004)

**Constructed-Response Items**

Constructed-response items include short and extended constructed-response items, Predict-Observe-Explain (POE) items, concept-mapping tasks, hands-on performance tasks, and interactive computer tasks. By 2009, it is expected that many of the constructed-response (especially short constructed-response) items will be computer-scored to reduce cost and scoring time. More complex performances (such as concept-mapping tasks) could be computer-scored using student response databases and natural language processors.

**Short Constructed-Response Items**

This item type generally requires students to supply the correct word, phrase or quantitative relationship in response to the question given in the item, illustrate with a brief example, or write a concise explanation for a given situation or result. Thus,

---

31 This item assumes that the entire block consists of a completely homogeneous material. The possibility that the block is made of heterogeneous material is unlikely to occur to middle school students.
students must generate the relevant information rather than simply recognize the correct answer from a set of given alternatives, as in selected-response items.

**Illustrative Item**

[Currently waiting on permission to use a New Standards item on seismic waves.]

**Extended Constructed-Response Items**

This item type is generally multi-dimensional; that is, it taps into multiple content statements, practices, and/or cognitive demands. These types of items can provide particularly useful insight into students' level of conceptual understanding and reasoning. They can also be used to probe students' ability to communicate in the sciences. Such items generally present a situation within or across content areas and require students to analyze the situation, choose and carry out an alternative plan for addressing it, and interpret their response in light of the original situation. Students may also be given an opportunity to explain their responses, their reasoning processes, or their approach to a problem situation. However, care must be taken, particularly with fourth-graders and students with limited English proficiency, that language ability is not confounded with science ability.

The following item involves reasoning with “mental models” [on carbon cycling] and thus attempts to probe the practice of Using Science Principles and taps into the cognitive demand of “knowing why.”

**Illustrative Item**

[Currently waiting on permission to use a New Standards item on carbon cycling.]

Part A of the next item attempts to assess a component of the Using Scientific Inquiry practice—interpreting and drawing inferences from a graph; in so doing, it taps into the cognitive demand of “knowing how (procedural knowledge).” Part B of the item attempts to assess students’ reasoning from a mental model of a food chain, thus requiring the Using Science Principles practice and tapping the cognitive demand of “knowing why.”
Illustrative Item

3) A study of the population density of rabbits and foxes in a forest ecosystem was conducted over several years. The results were graphed as shown below.

A. What type of relationship exists between the rabbits and the foxes?

B. Explain the relationship between the rabbit population and the fox population.

Source: Adapted from Missouri Assessment Program 1998, Intermediate (Middle School)

Predict-Observe-Explain

A POE item can take either a selected-response or a constructed-response format. In either case, POE items tend to tap the practice of Using Science Principles and the cognitive demand of “knowing why (schematic knowledge).” A situation is described, and the student’s task is to predict what will happen and/or to provide an explanation for what has happened. In the selected-response format, students choose from a set of possible alternatives (based on known alternative mental models). In the constructed-response format, the student’s task is to write out (with justification) a prediction or an explanation. The example POE selected-response item used on p. 97 tells students that a block sinks in water and that the same block is then cut into two parts: 1/3 and 2/3. Students are asked to choose among a set of predictions for whether the 1/3 and 2/3 parts will sink or float. This item could be extended to further probe students’ mental models of buoyancy: students could be told that the full block sinks in water and be asked to write their prediction (with justification) as
to what will happen when the two parts are placed in water. Or, they could watch a simulation or video of what happens to the full block and the two parts and then be asked to explain their observations.

Concept-mapping tasks

Concept maps can be used as a reliable and valid assessment of students’ ability to make connections among science principles (see Table 1 in Ruiz-Primo & Shavelson, 1996, p. 85). That is, concept-map tasks address the practice of Identifying Science Principles and the cognitive demand of the organization of “declarative knowledge.” With a concept map, a student is given a set of concept terms and is asked to construct a map linking pairs of terms with directed arrows. The student then labels each arrow with a word or phrase that explains the relationship between a pair of concept terms. This arrow-linked concept-term pair is called a proposition. The students’ concept maps can be evaluated as to the accuracy of propositions in their maps. The following concept map for the water cycle was constructed by an eleven-year old student.  

![Concept Map of the Water Cycle](image)

Source: White & Gunstone (1992, p. 16)

Hands-on performance tasks

In hands-on performance tasks, students manipulate selected physical objects and try to solve a scientific problem involving the objects. These exercises, if carefully designed, can probe students’ abilities to combine their science knowledge with the investigative skills reflective of the nature of science and inquiry. In large-scale assessments such as NAEP, uniform administration must be ensured. In the past, this has been accomplished through the use of standardized performance assessment kits, with each exercise.

---

33 To be replaced by an assessment item.
proctored and scored by trained personnel. Special accommodations may be necessary for some students.

A particularly cogent criticism of most hands-on performance tasks administered in large-scale assessments is that, rather than tap into students’ ability to inquire into a problem, they instead measure students’ ability to follow step-by-step instructions to arrive at the expected answer. Assessment developers are likely to create these recipe-types of exercises since they need to take account of the vast differences in students’ science courses and experiences. Given these differences, the absence of structure might produce responses that cannot be anticipated and might be problematic for the assessment either at the time the data are collected or when students’ performances are scored by raters. Although the criticism of highly structured performance tasks is well taken, there is evidence that valid performance exercises can be designed, developed, administered, and scored without encountering major problems (e.g., Shavelson, Baxter & Pine, 1991; Ruiz-Primo & Shavelson, 1998).

In designing hands-on performance tasks, the following should be kept in mind. The degree to which students engage in some aspect of scientific inquiry depends upon who selects the problem to be studied, who selects the procedures to be carried out in tackling the problem, and who selects the answer. In NAEP, the assessment should provide students with a challenging problem. However, students must be given the opportunity to determine scientifically justifiable procedures for addressing the problem and arriving at a solution, that is, to be determined by the student. Indeed, the problem to be solved is in setting forth procedures that manipulate the variable of interest, control extraneous variables, and provide solid data to be used in arguing for and justifying a problem solution. In addition to allowing students to determine the procedures for carrying out the experiment, NAEP hands-on performance tasks should be “content rich,” in that they require knowledge of science principles to carry them out.

In brief, any hands-on performance task included in the NAEP assessment should present students with a concrete, well-contextualized task (problem, challenge) along with “laboratory” equipment and materials, and a response format that leaves the exercise process open. Students’ scores should be based on both the procedures created for carrying out the investigation and the solution (Shavelson, Baxter & Pine, 1991). The assessment, then, should provide the problem that draws on science principles and practices and leaves students free to design and carry out the exercise to arrive at an answer or solution. The following item is an example of such a task, designed for fifth-graders.
**Illustrative Item**

**Electric Mysteries**
Students are asked to identify the contents of each of the six boxes (A-F) by using the batteries and bulbs they are given to complete a circuit. This task requires knowledge of series circuits but leaves problem-solving procedures up to the student.

![Image of Electric Mysteries activity](image.png)

Source: Shavelson, Baxter & Pine (1991)

---

**Interactive Computer Tasks**

The 2009 Science Assessment should include some but not necessarily all of the following four types of interactive computer tasks (ICTs): (1) information search and analysis, (2) empirical investigation, (3) simulation, and (4) concept mapping. Static screen shots are used throughout this Framework to illustrate examples of ICTs. Note that these screen shots represent only a small subset of the many screens students see when engaged in actual ICTs.
Information search and analysis items pose a scientific problem and ask students to query an information database to bring conceptual and empirical information to bear, through analysis, on the problem. A screen shot from such an ICT follows:

**Illustrative Item**

![Screen Shot from ICT](image)

---

Source: Educational Testing Service, NCES study of Technology Rich Environments

---

34 The image quality of this screen shot will be improved in the next draft. Permission pending for use in final document.
Empirical investigation items put hands-on performance tasks on the computer and invite students to design and conduct a study to draw inferences and conclusions about a problem. Whether the computer simulated experiment assesses the same skills, knowledge, and understandings as hands-on performance tasks has not been established, and a special study is proposed to address this question (see p. 111). The following is a screen shot from a computer version of the Electric Mysteries task (see p. 102 for description of this as a hands-on performance task):

Illustrative Item

Source: Shavelson, Baxter & Pine (1991, p. 357)

---

35 The image quality of this screen shot will be improved in the next draft.
Simulation items model systems (e.g., food webs), pose problems of prediction and explanation about changes in the system, and permit students to collect data and solve problems in the system. The following screen shot comes from such a simulation ICT:

**Illustrative Item**

**Solar Power Task:**

High school (grade 12) students are asked to identify locations appropriate for solar power generation. In order to complete the task, they must:
- Evaluate GIS map visualizations.
- Compare and contrast visualizations of different types of data.
- Use analytical extension to perform computations with visualization data.

An example of a question:

Your task is to identify 2 states that will have a high annual solar energy and will be able to generate the maximum amount of electricity from their solar panels. Name 2 states that you predict will have a good annual electrical yield. In the rest of this performance assessment, you will use generate visualizations and calculate which states will generate the best annual electricity yield from solar panels.

An example of student work from pilot study:

Source: Quellmalz et al., 2004

---

36 The image quality of this screen shot will be improved in the next draft.
Finally, concept mapping can be done by providing concept terms and having students build concept-maps on the computer. The following is a screen shot of a completed concept-map:

![Concept Map Image](image)

Source: Adapted from Herl et al., 1999.

Computers and other media provide potential solutions to a variety of practical challenges posed by complex assessment exercises. The messiness and logistical challenges of hands-on tasks can be circumvented with computer simulation. Extensive databases can be presented to assess students' ability to select and evaluate information relevant to the situation or problem they are asked to address. Moreover, the difficulty of providing materials and training for complex tasks such as concept maps can, as well, be circumvented with computers. To avoid teaching to the concept map, concept terms can be randomly sampled for a particular map.

ICTs should be used where the format offers advantages over other testing modes. To summarize, these include, but are not necessarily limited to, testing student knowledge, skills, and abilities related to the following situations:

- For scientific phenomena that cannot easily be observed in real time. For example, seeing things in slow-motion (like the motion of a wave) or speeded-up

---

37 The image quality of this screen shot will be improved in the next draft.
(e.g., erosion caused by a river). It is also useful when it is necessary to freeze action or replay it.

- For modeling scientific phenomena that are invisible to the naked eye (e.g., the movement of molecules in a gas).
- For working safely in lab-like simulations that would otherwise be hazardous (e.g., using dangerous chemicals) or messy in a testing situation.
- For situations that require several repetitions of an experiment in a limited testing time, while varying the parameters (e.g., rolling a ball down a slope while varying the mass, the angle of inclination, or the coefficient of friction of the surface).
- For searching the Internet and resource documents that provide high-fidelity situations related to the actual world in which such performances are likely to be observed.
- For manipulating objects in a facile manner such as manipulating concept terms in a concept map.

The Framework envisions, especially, extended constructed-response items, concept-mapping tasks and hands-on performance tasks as strong candidates for interactive computer tasks. In this way, the complexity of science understandings and practices that needs to be probed in the NAEP Science Assessment might very well be captured with less time, cost, and logistical challenges and with greater opportunity for divergent problem-solving tasks than has been the case in the past.

**Distribution of Items**

This section suggests three types of appropriate item distributions, as measured by percentage of student response time, at each grade level. For further details, see the Specifications.

- Items by content area—Physical Science, Life Science, and Earth and Space Science
- Items by science practice—Identifying Science Principles, Using Science Principles, Conducting Scientific Inquiry, and Employing Technological Design
- Items by type—Selected-response items and Constructed-response items

**Distribution of Items by Content Area**

In the overview section to this chapter, distributions of items at each grade level by the three science content areas, as measured by percentage of student response time, were described as shown in Table 14.
Table 14. Distribution of Items by Content Area and Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Area</th>
<th>Grade 4 (%)</th>
<th>Grade 8 (%)</th>
<th>Grade 12 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Earth/Space</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D**istribution of Items by Science Practice**

The distribution of items as measured by percentage of student response time at each grade level for the four science practices should be roughly:

Table 15. Distribution of Items by Science Practices and Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice</th>
<th>Grade 4 (%)</th>
<th>Grade 8 (%)</th>
<th>Grade 12 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identifying Science Principles</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using Science Principles</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employing Technological Design</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D**istribution of Items by Item Type**

As measured by student response time, 50 percent of the assessment items at each grade level should be selected-response items and 50 percent should be constructed-response items. If variances from this 50-50 distribution become necessary as items are developed, preference should be given to constructed-response items. The number of hands-on performance and interactive computer tasks is specified in Table 16.

Table 16. Distribution of Items by Item Format and Grade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Grade 4 (# of tasks)</th>
<th>Grade 8 (# of tasks)</th>
<th>Grade 12 (# of tasks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hands-On Performance Task (HT)</td>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>≥1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive Computer Task (ICT)</td>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>≥1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total HT + ICT</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>≥4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In any grade, the number of interactive computer tasks should not exceed the number of hands-on performance tasks.

---

38 These recommendations are based on NAEP data regarding students’ course-taking patterns. If these patterns change materially after 2009, these recommendations should be reconsidered.
Students with Limited English Proficiency and Students with Disabilities

As national and state testing increases, so does the demand that assessment systems include all students—for example, those with disabilities and those with limited English proficiency (LEP)—many of whom have not been included in these systems in the past. As NAEP looks to measure the educational progress of students in the nation’s classrooms, assessment developers will encounter challenges that require giving deeper thought and consideration to the development of items providing as fair a context as possible for all students.

NAEP should strive to develop science assessments that allow for the participation of the widest possible range of students, so that interpretation of scores of all who participate leads to valid inferences about the levels of their performance. All students need to have an opportunity to demonstrate their knowledge of the concepts and ideas that the NAEP Science Assessment is intended to measure. According to the National Research Council:

…fairness, like validity, cannot be properly addressed as an afterthought once the test has been developed, administered, and used. It must be confronted throughout the interconnected phases of the testing process, from test design and development to administration, scoring, interpretation, and use (NRC, 1999, p. 81).

When assessments are first conceptualized, they need to be thought of in the context of the entire population that will be assessed (AERA, APA, NCME, 1999; National Research Council, 1999; Thompson, Johnstone & Thurlow, 2002). NAEP assessments, as well as all large-scale assessments today, need to be responsive to growing demands: increased diversity, increased inclusion of all types of students in the general curriculum, and increased emphasis and commitment to serve and be accountable for all students. Assessments need to measure the performance of students with a wide range of abilities and skill repertoires, ensuring that students with diverse learning needs receive opportunities to demonstrate competence on the same content.

Students with limited English proficiency and students with disabilities each present challenges in how their science competencies can be assessed validly. Nevertheless, there are some commonalities, not the least of which is considerable heterogeneity within each of these groups as to assessment needs. In addition:

- Conceptual frameworks based on appropriate theories of language development and proficiency and of various forms of disabilities will be needed to build inclusive assessments, and
- Financial and human resources will be needed over what is usually allocated in order to develop, administer, and interpret performance on relevant tasks.

Two general recommendations address both groups in the context of good assessment design for all students: readability of written text and alignment to content statements.

A students’ ability to read and respond to written text often determines a successful performance on assessments. Assessment items and assessments may pose an unfair
disadvantage for some students if there is a heavy burden on reading skills when reading is not the target of the assessment. Language that is straightforward, concise, and uses everyday words to convey meaning is needed. The goal of ensuring that language has these characteristics is to improve the comprehensibility of written text while preserving the essence of its meaning. The use of language that reduces the linguistic demands placed on students reduces the effect of reading skills and language proficiency on students’ science performance and assessment scores. More information on reading level will be provided in the Specifications.

Items on the NAEP Science Assessment must be aligned to the content statements and science practices with the same depth and breadth of coverage and the same cognitive demands as specified in the Framework. The emphasis in assessment design should be on accessibility using different formats, technologies, and designs to include as many students as possible. It must be clear from the beginning that, to be equitable, assessments need to measure the achievement of all students on the same standards. Field tests should sample every type of student expected to participate in the final assessment administration, including students with a wide range of disabilities, students with limited English proficiency, and students across racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic lines. Checking NAEP field-test items with a broad range of students will not only help determine whether items are unclear, misleading, or inaccessible for certain groups of students, but will also help ensure that assessment procedures are applied to all students when the NAEP assessment is fully implemented. Further detail on both recommendations can be found in the Specifications.

NAEP strives to assess all students selected by its sampling process. Rigorous criteria are applied to minimize the number of LEP students and students with disabilities excluded from NAEP assessments. Participating students with special needs are permitted to use accommodations, as stated in current NAEP policy:

   All special-needs students may use the same accommodations in NAEP assessments that they use in their usual classroom testing unless the accommodation would make it impossible to measure the ability, skill, or proficiency being assessed, or the accommodation is not possible for the NAEP program to administer (http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/about/inclusion.asp Retrieved September 7, 2005).

For more on NAEP’s inclusion policy and permitted accommodations, please see the Specifications.
Special Studies

Special studies bearing on aspects of the 2009 NAEP Science Assessment are presented in this Framework. Each would further understanding of science assessment.

Group 1 Special Studies are recommended with highest priority:
- “Exchangeability” of Hands-on and Interactive Computer Investigations
- Impact of Variation in Item Format and Language Demand on the Performance of Students with Limited English Proficiency and Students with Disabilities
- Computer Adaptive Testing to Assess the Development of Student Understanding of Earth Systems

Group 2 Special Studies have lower priority:
- Knowing What Students Know about Technological Design
- Extended Investigations by Students

Note that the order in which studies are listed does not imply priority within Group 1 or Group 2. Group 1 Special Studies are presented below; see Appendix E for Group 2 Special Studies.

Group 1 Special Studies

“Exchangeability” of Hands-on and Interactive Computer Investigations

Inquiry is at the heart of knowing and doing science. A fundamental aspect of inquiry is the design, conduct, and interpretation of empirical investigations to answer a question, test a hypothesis, or the like. While a full assessment of inquiry is not possible on any test that is given on demand, hands-on investigations attempt to approximate this aspect of inquiry under time, space, cost, and logistic constraints. For this reason, hands-on science investigations have been a part of the NAEP Science Assessment since 1996.

These hands-on investigations (HI), however, have been criticized as costly, logistically difficult, and too highly structured. On the other hand, interactive computer tasks or, in this case, interactive computer investigations (ICI), are logistically simpler, lower in cost, and can be more open-ended. Consequently, the purpose of this study is to explore whether ICI and HI are exchangeable. The question is not whether ICI could replace HI either on NAEP or in the classroom—it should not. Even if these two approaches produce quite similar performances and scores, each affords somewhat different opportunities; simulations are just that and are not exchangeable with actual practice. This is an assessment question: can the cost and logistical challenges of HI be reduced with ICI and still measure the same competencies as reliably and validly? Some research suggests that...
the two methods of assessing student inquiry are, to a fair degree, exchangeable (e.g., Pine, Baxter & Shavelson, 1993; Rosenquist, Shavelson, & Ruiz-Primo, 2000). Yet, further research is needed on several different investigations to provide a satisfactory answer for large-scale assessment.

Specifically, this study would address the following research questions:

- Does choice of ICI or HI limit the questions that may be asked? Specifically, is there something of value in HI that cannot be asked if the ICI is administered?
- Are scores on HI and ICI equally reliable?
- Are scores on HI and ICI of equal magnitude?
- To what extent does performance on HI predict performance on ICI of the same investigation?
- Do scores on HI and ICI correlate about equally with scores on another measure of science inquiry or achievement?
- Are similar thinking processes evoked by HI and ICI?
- Do the answers to these questions depend on the widely diverse backgrounds of students in U.S. education?

Impact of Variation in Item Format and Language Demand on the Performance of Students with Limited English Proficiency and Students with Disabilities

Students with limited English proficiency (LEP) and students with disabilities do not perform as well on standardized achievement assessments even accounting for background. Recent studies, for example, have pointed to a systematic relationship between the linguistic complexity of the assessment and the test scores of LEP students (e.g., Abedi, 2003) and students with disabilities. Science assessments, with their heavy verbal load, may exacerbate performance disparities. In cases where this relationship is demonstrable, and test items are high in language complexity, the differences become sources of measurement error and construct irrelevant variance, so that the nature of the assessment item must be addressed. Until this dimension of the assessment item is more clearly understood, any interpretation of the performance of LEP students or students with disabilities on a content assessment is problematic: language proficiency, for example, and science understanding cannot be disentangled.

Preliminary results from several studies of scaffolded science assessments that are designed to minimize language complexity and provide alternative response modalities—including graphic organizers or drawn representations of the concepts—indicate that LEP students and students with disabilities may be able to demonstrate content knowledge at a higher level if a variety of response options are available to them (Delgado, 2005; Dalton et al., 1994). Further research is needed to clarify the relationship between language complexity, scaffolded test items, and the performance of LEP students and students with disabilities.

Specifically, this study would address the following research questions:

- Can the language complexity of a content-based assessment be systematically measured?
Can content-based assessment items be designed to minimize the language demand while conserving the content information obtained?

If the content-based assessment contains a graphic response modality, do LEP students and students with disabilities demonstrate higher understanding of the content concept being assessed relative to more linguistically demanding response modalities?

When the content-based assessment with a graphic response option is also computer-based, is there a further benefit in terms of content concept conservation and these students’ performance?

Computer Adaptive Testing to Assess the Development of Student Understanding of Earth Systems

A common critique of large-scale assessment is that its necessary reliance on easily-scored, decontextualized, and decomposed items has led to an impoverished range of potential learning activities from which valid and reliable measures might be derived (Resnick & Resnick, 1992). Among attempts to find alternatives have been: (a) the Facets approach (Minstrell, 1998) which posits a strong model of “facets” of student knowledge for certain science topic areas and uses coordinated sets of multiple choice items to hone in on students’ particular conceptions and misconceptions; and (b) the progress variable approach (Masters et al, 1990), which posits a learning progression and uses Item Response Theory to scale students’ responses to (typically open-ended) items to estimate in which part of the learning progression students are most likely located.

This proposal combines the strengths of each of these approaches to develop a new type of “branching” item that can be used to investigate (a) the more complex types of knowledge structures and (b) complex procedural steps involving contingencies such as those common in inquiry-related contexts, and yet maintain the efficiency of traditional multiple choice testing. Specifically, the Facets approach will contribute its strong knowledge structure and convenient scoring, and the progress variables approach will contribute the interpretational framework of the learning progression and the flexible statistical modeling available through recent advances in item response modeling (De Boeck & Wilson, 2004). Together, these make possible the utilization of item bundles such as that shown in Figure 3 to provide both the usual result in terms of student ability estimation, as well as potentially more educationally informative results such as the prevalence of particular classes of misconceptions among the student body.

---

Currently, a number of states are using computerized testing, consisting largely of translating traditional paper-and-pencil items to computer-based delivery systems. The study suggested here, as well as the first study on pp. 111-112, makes much more extensive use of the capabilities inherent in computer-based assessments.
Specifically, this study would address the following research questions:

- Can the “branching” item type be developed and delivered in a logistically efficient way for use in NAEP?
- Can the information from sets of “branching” item bundles be used to provide reliable, valid, and useful information on both student overall ability in science and the classification of students into educationally-useful categories?

The study would focus on a specific Earth system that is of practical and environmental significance, such as the biogeochemical carbon cycle. Understanding this system and
related environmental issues (e.g., global climate change), requires connected understandings in the Physical, Life, and Earth Sciences, many of which are characterized in the *Framework*. (For example, students who understand global warming understand how photosynthesis, cellular respiration, and fossil fuel combustion affect carbon dioxide concentrations in the atmosphere.) This connected understanding can be tracked as a learning progression.
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STEERING COMMITTEE GUIDELINES
The Framework is Informed by the National Standards and Benchmarks.

The Framework should reflect the nation’s best thinking in science instruction and thus be guided by two national documents: National Science Education Standards (NRC, 1996) and Benchmarks for Science Literacy (AAAS, 1993). Both of these documents were subject to extensive internal and external reviews during their development.

Informed by the National Standards and Benchmarks, the Framework should emphasize knowledge and use of science concepts; appropriate linking of science facts to concepts; relationships among concepts; and major themes unifying the sciences. The Framework should also incorporate investigative skills.

The Framework Reflects the Nature and Practice of Science.

The National Standards and Benchmarks include standards addressing science as inquiry, nature of science, history of science, and the designed world. The Framework should emphasize the importance of these aspects of science education and should include the expectation that students will understand the nature and practice of science. Science is a self-correcting process, a way of knowing where theories are continually modified and refined based on new research findings. Students should demonstrate the ability to:

- Make warranted inferences from evidence;
- Use evidence to justify conclusions based on scientific investigations;
- Demonstrate reasoning skills in the application of science content and in understanding the connections between science concepts;
- Exercise skepticism when evaluating, using, and discarding data;
- Understand and use models to describe and do science;
- Apply content knowledge and skills to solve problems as they occur in the natural world; and
- Understand and apply knowledge of links and commonalities of science across fields.

The scientific disciplines are no longer practiced in isolation, and research that cuts across discipline boundaries is common. The Framework should:

- Identify some of the science concepts and skills that cut across the assessed subject areas;
- Address science in both the natural and designed world; and
- Clearly define and identify commonalities and differences between “science” and “technology” or “technological design.”

The Framework should also address social and historical contexts, which are keys to understanding how the scientific community has arrived at its current body of knowledge.
The Framework Incorporates Key Attributes of Effective Assessment.

The Framework should use assessment formats that are consistent with the objectives being assessed. It should be guided by the best available research on assessment item design and delivery.

The Framework should be inclusive of student diversity as reflected in gender, geographic location, language proficiency, race/ethnicity, socio-economic status, and disability condition. The assessment should be designed and written to be accessible by the majority of students and to minimize the need for special accommodations for both students with disabilities and students with limited English proficiency. Students with special needs should be provided accommodations to allow them to participate in the assessment.

The Framework should reflect knowledge about the acquisition of key science concepts over time, based on research about how students learn. The existing research findings should make clear, when possible, what the progression of science knowledge looks like across the grade levels. Concepts should be represented in a manner that reflects how students progress through a discipline and across disciplines. Assessment items should reflect students’ potential for applying concepts and more varied and complex situations over time.

Critical content and skills should be articulated and assessed across grades 4, 8, and 12 (vertically), as well as across the fields of science (horizontally), by creating items that are deliberately layered to achieve these goals. An example of measuring similar constructs within and across subjects is the progression of increasingly sophisticated understanding about energy from elementary to middle to high school in the subject areas of biology, chemistry, Earth science, and physics.

A variety of assessment formats should continue to be used in the NAEP assessment, including well-constructed multiple-choice and open-ended items, as well as performance tasks. In addition, multiple methods of assessment delivery should be considered, including the appropriate uses of digital-based technology. The Framework should consider use of digital delivery systems for the assessment including Web-based or CD formats. The use of embedded simulations that can represent scientific phenomena such as data, representations, and factors captured within laboratory experiments and use of an adaptively designed series of assessment items should also be considered. Advances in machine scoring of text should provide the opportunity for increased use of open-response format questions. The assessment format and delivery system employed should offer accessibility to the widest range of students.

Each achievement level—Basic, Proficient, and Advanced—should include a range of items assessing various levels of cognitive knowledge that is broad enough to ensure each is measured with the same degree of accuracy. Descriptions of Basic, Proficient, and Advanced must be as clear as possible.
The **Assessment Provides Data for Research.**

NAEP assessment results are increasingly being used to review state student assessments and compare student achievement across states. The Framework should address the important uses of assessment data both to conduct research to better understand science learning and to improve science achievement. Data from the assessment should be collected in such a way as to provide information that:

- Supplies details of the attributes (race/ethnicity, gender, etc.) of the students being assessed;
- Provides results by student gender, race/ethnicity, and socio-economic level;
- Describes the academic preparation of the teachers of the students being assessed;
- Describes the nature of the educational system of the students being assessed;
- Relates the instructional delivery and materials, professional development of the teachers, and the learning environment to the results from assessment; and
- Provides feedback to educators for improving science instruction and learning.

The **Specifications Document is Closely Aligned with the Framework.**

The connections among the Framework, the Specifications, and the assessment items themselves, should be transparent, have a consistent level of specificity, and be coherent.

The Specifications should be written with consistent detail across all fields, domains, and expectations of Framework:

- The Specifications should have a consistent structure across all areas.
- The expected science knowledge that represents the target for assessment should be described in a clear and consistent format. The content addressed in the Specifications should reflect the standards and focus on the significant information and knowledge that we would like students to retain (e.g., big ideas, fundamental understandings) over time, such as 10 years after they leave school.
- The verbs used in the Specifications should describe the expected action to be taken in the assessment (e.g., identify, describe, evaluate, relate, analyze, and demonstrate).
- Expectations across the content areas should match in level of specificity and scope.
- The Specifications should follow the idea of learning trajectories. To assess overarching concepts or themes, the assessment specifications should reflect a scaffolded or layered understanding of growth in knowledge of the concepts.
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NAEP SCIENCE
PRELIMINARY ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL DESCRIPTIONS
NAEP Science Preliminary Achievement Level Descriptions

Congress authorized the National Assessment Governing Board to develop appropriate student achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). The achievement level descriptions are statements of what students should know and be able to do on NAEP at grades 4, 8, and 12. To fulfill its statutory responsibility, the Governing Board developed a policy to guide the development of achievement levels for all NAEP subjects. Three levels of achievement were identified to provide the public, educators, and policymakers with information on student performance on NAEP. These levels—Basic, Proficient, and Advanced—are used as a primary means of reporting NAEP results to describe “how good is good enough” at grades 4, 8, and 12.

Table 17 displays the Board’s generic policy definitions for Basic, Proficient, and Advanced achievement that pertain to all NAEP subjects and grades.

Table 17. Generic Achievement Level Policy Definitions for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Achievement Level</th>
<th>Policy Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>This level signifies superior performance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proficient</td>
<td>This level represents solid academic performance for each grade assessed. Students reaching this level have demonstrated competency over challenging subject matter, including subject-matter knowledge, application of such knowledge to real-world situations, and analytical skills appropriate to the subject matter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>This level denotes partial mastery of prerequisite knowledge and skills that are fundamental for proficient work at each grade.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During the framework development process the project committees are asked to develop preliminary achievement level descriptions, based on the generic policy definitions, to guide item development. Essentially the purpose of these statements is to provide examples of what students performing at the basic, proficient, and advanced achievement levels should know and be able to do in terms of the science content and practices identified in the Framework. The intended audiences for these preliminary descriptions are the NAEP test development contractor and item writers. The descriptions are used to ensure that a broad range of items is developed at each grade level. Tables 18 to 20 present the preliminary achievement level descriptions for grades 4, 8, and 12 as bullet points to clearly illustrate the science content and practices expected at each grade level.

The preliminary descriptions include illustrative statements selected from the Framework’s science content and practices. The statements are not intended to represent
the entire set of objectives from the content and practice dimensions, nor do the preliminary achievement level descriptions denote a sense of priority or importance based on the statements selected.

After the assessment is administered, broadly representative panels engage in a standard setting process to determine the achievement level cut scores on the NAEP scale. The cut scores represent the minimum score required for performance at each NAEP achievement level. A second outcome of this standard setting process is a set of paragraphs, derived from the preliminary achievement level descriptions, to be used in reporting the NAEP science results to the general public and other audiences. At each grade level, there will be paragraphs describing what students should know and be able to do at the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced level in terms of the science content and practices identified in the Framework.

Further information on NAEP achievement levels can be found at www.nagb.org.
### Table 18. Grade 4 Preliminary Achievement Level Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>BASIC</strong></th>
<th><strong>PROFICIENT</strong></th>
<th><strong>ADVANCED</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identifying Science Principles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td>compare properties of solids and liquids</td>
<td>describe the changes in physical properties that result when substances are heated and cooled</td>
<td>relate changes in an object’s position to time and speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td>identify the foods that animals eat as sources of energy and building blocks for growth and repair</td>
<td>describe life cycles of familiar plants and animals</td>
<td>relate an organism’s survival with conditions in the environment that meet the organism’s basic needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td>describe changes in the apparent shape of the moon over a month’s time</td>
<td>identify natural processes such as earthquakes and hurricanes that result in sudden changes in Earth’s surface</td>
<td>relate the changes in the location of sunrise with time of year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Using Science Principles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td>demonstrate how an object such as a disc produces shadows of different shapes</td>
<td>compare the rate of cooling of an object contained in an insulated container with the rate of cooling when the object is exposed to an environment that is colder than the object</td>
<td>compare how the motion of an object will change when forces (pushes or pulls) of different strengths are exerted on the object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td>describe how familiar animals meet their basic needs for food, air, water and shelter</td>
<td>predict how a change in a plant’s environment will affect the plant’s survival</td>
<td>explain why animals need food and plants need nutrients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td>provide examples of weathering and erosion</td>
<td>describe how the shadow of a flag pole changes from sunrise to sun set (see related “basic” performance under Physical Science)</td>
<td>relate properties of natural materials to their capacity to sustain plant life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASIC</td>
<td>PROFICIENT</td>
<td>ADVANCED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</strong></td>
<td><strong>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</strong></td>
<td><strong>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td>order magnets by strength based on data describing the number of objects (paper clips, for instance) attracted to each of the magnets</td>
<td>critique several proposed investigations comparing the heat produced by burning different quantities of wax</td>
<td>design an investigation to show the relationship between the length of a vibrating string and the waves produced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td>construct a bar graph from numerical data showing changes in the height of a plant over time</td>
<td>select the best designed investigation from descriptions of several different ways to investigate the effects of light intensity on a plant</td>
<td>design an investigation to show how a change in an environment changes the number of a familiar kind of animal in the environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td>identify a thermometer as a tool for measuring temperature</td>
<td>construct a bar graph from data showing average monthly temperatures over a twelve month time period</td>
<td>design an investigation to measure different rates of erosion for soils of different particle size (sand, small pebbles, small stones)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Employing Technological Design** | **Employing Technological Design** | **Employing Technological Design** |
| Physical Science | apply information about heat insulators to select from among several containers the best designed container to keep an object from cooling | apply information about heat insulators to design a container to keep objects from cooling | |
| Life Science | | | apply information about an animal’s basic needs to design a shelter for the animal |
| Earth and Space Science | apply science principles to the design and critique of technological solutions to given problems | apply science principles to the design and critique of technological solutions to given problems | apply science principles to the design and critique of technological solutions to given problems |

---

41 Note the Steering Committee’s recommendation that Employing Technological Design be removed as a category of science practice. See p. 74. If this recommendation is accepted, Tables 18, 19, and 20 will be revised accordingly.
### Table 19. Grade 8 Preliminary Achievement Level Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BASIC</th>
<th>PROFICIENT</th>
<th>ADVANCED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identifying Science Principles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Science</td>
<td>state that acids are a class of compounds that exhibit common chemical properties</td>
<td>describe properties of acids such as color changes with acid/base indicators and the tendency to reactions with bases</td>
<td>relate the properties of elements that form acids with their position in the Periodic Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Science</td>
<td>identify producers and consumers as components of living systems</td>
<td>describe the functions of consumers in ecological systems</td>
<td>relate the functions of consumers to the energy flow in ecological systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth and Space Science</td>
<td>describe the location of Earth in the solar system</td>
<td>relate the phases of the moon, and the length of a day and a year to the motions of Earth, the moon, and the sun</td>
<td>relate the force of gravity to the regular motion of bodies in the solar system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Using Science Principles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Science</td>
<td>explain the physical properties of solids, liquids and gases using the idea that matter is composed of tiny particles in motion</td>
<td>explain chemical properties of metals using the structure of atoms</td>
<td>predict the properties of an element based on its position in the Periodic Table</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Science</td>
<td>give examples of producers and consumers in aquatic ecosystems</td>
<td>predict the effect of a reduction in the population of predator species on the population of a species on which it preys</td>
<td>evaluate alternative explanation for patterns observed in an ecosystem’s population data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth and Space Science</td>
<td>predict the effect of a reduction of the amount light from the sun reaching Earth</td>
<td>provide examples of how rock formations bear evidence of the forces that created it</td>
<td>explain how the tilt of Earth’s rotation axis produces annual variation in the intensity of sunlight on the Earth’s surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASIC Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>PROFICIENT Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>ADVANCED Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>use physical and chemical properties to classify substances as metals or non-metals</td>
<td>select the best designed investigation from descriptions of several different ways to compare the potential energy of an object located at different distances from the Earth’s surface</td>
<td>design an investigation to show that water does not change chemically when it changes state</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>order diagrams illustrating stages in the development of an embryo from a fertilized egg</td>
<td>conduct a survey of an ecosystem’s population data and propose an explanation for patterns observed in the data</td>
<td>design a survey of an ecosystem’s consumer populations and propose an explanation for patterns in the data based on energy flow through the system</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>analyze properties of a rock formation to draw valid conclusions about the conditions under which the formation was formed</td>
<td>critique several proposed investigations to measure the relationship between the angle at which light strikes a surface and changes in the temperature of the surface</td>
<td>design an investigation to measure the changes in temperature when water evaporates and condenses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employing Technological Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employing Technological Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employing Technological Design</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apply information about consumers and producers to critique the design a self-sustaining terrarium</td>
<td>apply information about consumers and producers to design a self-sustaining terrarium</td>
<td>apply information about energy flow in ecological systems to critique a proposed system for managing the deer population in a forest ecosystem located near farms where corn is a principal product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 20. Grade 12 Preliminary Achievement Level Descriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Basic</th>
<th>Proficient</th>
<th>Advanced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identifying Science Principles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td>state that the potential energy of an object on the Earth’s surface is increased when the object’s position is changed from one closer to the Earth’s surface to one further from the Earth’s surface</td>
<td>describe the increases in kinetic, rotational, and vibration energy that result when a substance is heated</td>
<td>make connections among closely related science principles such as the relationship between energy conversions involving fission and fusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td>state modern scientific ideas about evolution such as natural selection and common descent</td>
<td>describe fossil, anatomical, and molecular evidence for biological evolution</td>
<td>make connections among the following related science principles: the potential of a species to increase its numbers; the genetic variability of its offspring; limitations on the resources required for life; the ensuing selection of those organisms better able to survive and leave offspring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td>state the law of superposition in an undisturbed sequence of rock layers – that younger rock layers sit atop older rock layers below</td>
<td>classify some geological processes as happening on a human time scale, such as earthquakes, and other processes occurring on a geological time scale, such as mountain building</td>
<td>use index fossils and type sections to assign sequences of rocks to geological eras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Using Science Principles</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td>give an example of an element that has an isotope</td>
<td>predict some common chemical reactions, given a choice of reactants (e.g., metals and non-metals, acids and bases)</td>
<td>propose, analyze, and evaluate explanations for the unusually high energy changes associated with water’s changes of state, and the unusual fact that the density of ice is less than the density of water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td>use antibiotic resistance as an example of principles of biological evolution</td>
<td>predict the spread of infectious disease based on basic concepts of evolution</td>
<td>use basic concepts of evolution to explain antibiotic resistance and invasive species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td>use meteorites as an example of an observation that the Earth and solar system formed from cosmic material</td>
<td>explain the location of deep sea trenches as an outcome of geologic processes</td>
<td>propose geologic processes that explain structures found on a geologic map</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BASIC</td>
<td>PROFICIENT</td>
<td>ADVANCED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td>Conducting Scientific Inquiry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td>describe patterns of physical and chemical properties within rows of the Periodic Table</td>
<td>design a demonstration illustrating translational, vibrational, and rotational motion of molecules</td>
<td>design an investigation to determine the effect of surface area on evaporation rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td>use information contained in a food web to illustrate energy conservation in an ecosystem</td>
<td>design an investigation to determine the effect of fertilizers on the growth of plants</td>
<td>design a strategy for estimating the quantity of plant material required to produce a kilogram of beef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td>analyze photographs of rock layers to determine the order in which the layers were deposited</td>
<td>describe how radioactive dating is used to estimate the age of rock formations</td>
<td>describe the evidence supporting tectonic theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employing Technological Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employing Technological Design</strong></td>
<td><strong>Employing Technological Design</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Science</strong></td>
<td>identify possible strategies for keeping sidewalks snow free</td>
<td></td>
<td>given a sidewalk that is 3m wide and 10m long with a snow cover that is 4 meters deep and has a density of 0.1g/cc, calculate: (1) the energy required by a person to remove the snow, (2) the volume of diesel fuel required by a snow plow to remove the snow, (3) the electrical energy required by electrical heaters encased in the sidewalk to melt the snow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>design two alternatives for keeping sidewalks snow free, critique each strategy on the basis of effects on the environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earth and Space Science</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX D

SAMPLE ITEMS AND SCORING GUIDES

42 The image quality of items, scoring guides, and student responses will be improved in the next draft.
Source: TIMSS 1999, Grade 8 (see Framework, p. 69)

Food web - effect of crop failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Content Category</th>
<th>Performance Expectation</th>
<th>Item Key</th>
<th>Score Points</th>
<th>International Average Percentage of 8th Grade Students Responding Correctly</th>
<th>Used in 1995</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Life Science</td>
<td>Theorizing, Analyzing and Solving Problems</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A correct response must include a feasible explanation directly relating the predicted change in robin population to the effect of corn crop failure on prey/predator interactions in the food web. Responses do not have to use the specific terms decrease, increase, and same, as long as the explanation is clear with respect to the effect on the robin population. If more than one effect is given, assign the code corresponding to the first correct explanation.

Code | Response | Item: 5022141 |
---   |----------|---------------|
10    | Robin population may decrease. Explanation based on predators (mouse/hawks) eating more robins if mice die. Examples: | |
       | - Sunlight | |
       | - Corn     | |
       | - Mouse    | |
       | - Snake    | |
       | - Robin    | |
       | - Oak      | |
       | - Caterpillar | |

11 Robin population may increase. Explanation based on predators (mouse/hawks) dying due to lack of food (mice).
   Examples:  It would go up because the mouse starved if the mouse starved. There could be more robins because there are fewer snakes (or fewer hawks) to eat them.

12 Robin population would stay the same with a plausible explanation.
   Examples: It would not change because the mouse would find other plants to eat so the snake would be unaffected.

13 Other acceptable explanation.

Incorrect Response

79 Robin population would decrease. Incorrect explanation based on robins starving if mice die (confused prey/predator relationship). Examples: Decrease because there are less snakes to eat.

70 Robin population would decrease. Incorrect explanation based on robins needing corn to survive. Examples: Decrease because they need corn.

71 Robin population would decrease. Incorrect explanation based on the robin needing corn to survive or not being connected to corn in the food web. (Does not consider the effect of predators.) Examples: Nothing because there is only one insect. Nothing would happen. The corn is an input into a different chain in the food web.

72 Robin population would stay the same. Incorrect explanation based on the robin not needing corn to survive or not being connected to corn in the food web. (Does not consider the effect of predators.) Examples: Nothing because there is only one insect. Nothing would happen. The corn is an input into a different chain in the food web.

73 Mention only that the whole food web will be upset and all the animals will die.
   Examples: The whole food web would upset and everything would die.

78 Other incorrect (including crossed-out, shaky marks, illegible, or off-task).

No response

99 BLANK

Look at the food web above. If the corn crop failed one year what would most likely happen to the robin population? Explain your answer.
Source: NAEP 1996, Grade 8 (see Framework, p. 85)

A space station is to be located between the Earth and the Moon at the place where the Earth’s gravitational pull is equal to the Moon’s gravitational pull. On the diagram below, circle the letter indicating the approximate location of the space station.

Explain your answer.

Scoring Rationale: Student demonstrates ability to explain the role of gravity in a man-made satellite and relates the force of gravity to the mass (size) of the object pulling it.

3 = Complete - Student circles point C and gives a correct explanation that gravitational pull depends on mass and distance, thus the station must be closer to the Moon because the Moon’s mass is less than that of the Earth.

2 = Partial - Student circles point C and explains that the moon has less gravity than the Earth but does not link it to mass.

1 = Unsatisfactory/Incorrect - Student circles A, B, or C and gives an incorrect explanation or no explanation.
Sample Student Responses

Complete (Level 3)

Explain your answer.

Point C because the earth had a stronger gravitational pull because of it's size so the station would have to be located nearer to the moon to equal pulls.

Partial (Level 2)

Explain your answer.

The Earth has a greater gravitational pull than the moon so it needs to be closer to the moon.

Level:
Partial (2)

Unsatisfactory/Incorrect (Level 1)

Explain your answer.

It would be weighted in the middle due to gravitational forces.

Level:
Unsatisfactory/Incorrect (1)
APPENDIX E

GROUP 2 SMALL-SCALE SPECIAL STUDIES
Knowing What Students Know about Technological Design

Knowledge about technology and the technological design process are prominent in both the National Standards and Benchmarks. The National Standards states, “Although these are science education standards, the relationship between science and technology is so close that any presentation of science without developing an understanding of technology would portray an inaccurate picture of science” (p. 190). Although it has taken some time for schools to include technology in the curriculum for all students, there is growing recognition that technology should be an important component. The number of states that include technology in their standards is increasing. In 2001, 30 states included technology in their state standards; by 2004 the number had increased to 38 (73%) (Dugger et al., 2004). Consequently, the process of technological design is being included in NAEP as parallel to (though with less emphasis than) the process of inquiry.

Since relatively few questions on NAEP will probe Employing Technological Design, this study proposes the development of an additional set of questions to probe in depth students’ understanding of this practice.

Specifically, this study would address the following research question:

- What do students know about technological design in the contexts of agricultural technologies, energy generation technologies, and technologies related to Earth materials and resources?

Extended Investigations by Students

Science education standards nationally and locally emphasize scientific inquiry. In many states, this goal requires student engagement in projects that can take days, weeks, and even months as they undertake genuine investigations. Important outcomes of these projects include a range of skills that are a crucial feature of high quality science education but that cannot be assessed adequately in a 50-minute test (Singer, Hilton, and Schweingruber, eds. 2005). They include, for example, gauging the quality of students’: (a) reasoning while framing their research questions, (b) planning for data collection and the execution of that plan, (c) ability to meet unpredictable challenges that arise during any actual, ongoing scientific investigation, (d) persistence in seeking productive explanations for their observations and revising plans for the investigation, (e) lines of argument in deciding how to alter their experimental approach in the light of new evidence, (f) engagement with fellow students and/or the teacher in interpreting an observation or result and deciding what to do about it, and (g) deliberations when settling on the defensible conclusions that might be drawn from their work.

In many countries, teachers are the ones expected to make assessments of student work during extended projects. Often their judgments of student achievement are made during ongoing classroom activities that are part of the regular curriculum. The assessments provided by the teachers are incorporated into an overall score that also includes results of the short, timed tests. In some places, a defined percentage of the total score is based on teachers’ judgments about achievement associated with investigative projects.
This study, then, might include both a national sample of students and an exploration of what other countries do under similar circumstances. Specifically, the study would address the following research questions:

- What methods can be or have been developed to assess student achievement with respect to the ability to conduct extended scientific investigations?
- To what extent are shorter investigations interchangeable for the extended investigation, and to what extent are they not?
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